arXiv:0804.0809v2 [math.AG] 27 May 2008

Gevrey solutions for irregular hypergeometric systems I

M.C. Fernández-Fernández and F.J. Castro-Jiménez *
Departamento de Álgebra
Universidad de Sevilla

2^{nd} version, 25-05-2008

Abstract

We describe the Gevrey series solutions at singular points of irregular hypergeometric systems (GKZ systems) associated with affine monomial curves.

1 Introduction

We study the Gevrey solutions of the hypergeometric system associated with a monomial curve in $\mathbb{C}^n$ by using Γ–series introduced in [7] and also used in [25] in a very useful and slightly different form. We use these Γ–series to study the *Gevrey filtration of the irregularity complex* of the corresponding hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}$–module with respect to coordinate hyperplanes.

Rational solutions of the hypergeometric system associated with a monomial projective curve has been studied in [5]. The analytic solutions of hypergeometric systems at a generic point in $\mathbb{C}^n$ have been widely studied (see e.g. [7], [1], [25], [23]). In this paper we begin studying Gevrey solutions at special points, i.e. points in the singular locus of the system, restricting ourselves to the case of affine monomial curves. More general cases will be treated in a forthcoming paper.

The behavior of Gevrey solutions of a hypergeometric system (and more generally of any holonomic $\mathcal{D}$–module) is closely related to its irregularity complex. We are in deep debt with the works of many people in these areas. We have especially used the works of Z. Mebkhout and Y. Laurent about irregularity and slopes [21], [20], [15], [16].

Let us begin with a simple example. Let X be the complex plane $\mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathcal{O}_X$ be the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X and $\mathcal{D}_X$ be the sheaf of linear differential operators on X with holomorphic coefficients.

Let $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ be the analytic hypergeometric system associated with the row matrix $A = (1\ b)$ (here b is an integer number $1 < b$) and the complex number β (see [7]). The $\mathcal{D}_X$–module $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_X$ modulo the sheaf of left ideals generated by the global operators $P = \partial_1^b - \partial_2$ and $E(\beta) = x_1\partial_1 + bx_2\partial_2 - \beta$. Here $x = (x_1, x_2)$ are coordinates on X and ∂_i stands for the partial derivative $\partial/\partial x_i$.

---

*Both authors partially supported by MTM2007-64509 and FQM333. The first author is also supported by the FPU Grant AP2005-2360, MEC (Spain). e.mail addresses: `mcferfer@us.es`, `castro@us.es`

Although it follows from general results ([6] and [1, Th. 3.9]), an easy computation shows that $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ is holonomic and that its singular support is the line $Y = (x_2 = 0)$.

The dimension of the $\mathbb{C}$–vector space of holomorphic solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ in a neighborhood of any point $p \in X \setminus Y$ equals b, i.e. we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}\left(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_X\right)_p = b$$

for any $p = (p_1, p_2) \in X$ with $p_2 \neq 0$. This follows from general results of [7] and [1] but in this case it can be see as follows. Notice that around p and up to multiplication by a unit, the operator $E(\beta)$ can be written as $E'(\beta) = \partial_2 + u(t_2)x_1\partial_1 - \beta u(t_2)$ where $t_2 = x_2 - p_2$ and $u(t_2) = (1/b(t_2 + p_2)^{-1})$. Then we can apply Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Theorem to the equation $E'(\beta)(\phi) = 0$ with initial condition $\phi(x_1, 0) = f(x_1)$ where $f(x_1)$ is a germ of holomorphic function at $x_1 = p_1$. Then we use the equation $P(\phi) = 0$ to fix b linearly independent holomorphic solutions around $p \in X \setminus Y$ of the system $E(\beta)(\phi) = P(\phi) = 0$.

Moreover by using results of [7] and [25] we can explicitly give (see Subsection 3.2.2) a basis of the solution space $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}\left(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_X\right)_p$. Such a basis is obtained as follows.

For $j = 0, \ldots, b-1$ let us write

$$v^j = (j, \frac{\beta - j}{b}) \in X.$$

Notice that $Av^j = \beta$. Let us consider the expression

$$\varphi_{v^j} = x^{v^j} \sum_{u \in L_A} \frac{1}{\Gamma(v^j + u + \mathbf{1})} x^u$$

where $L_A = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) = \{m(b, -1) \,|\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1)$ and $\Gamma((v_1, v_2)) = \Gamma(v_1)\Gamma(v_2)$ is a product of Euler gamma functions.

So, we have

$$\varphi_{v^j} = x^{v^j} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(v^j + (mb, -m) + \mathbf{1})} x^{(mb, -m)} \in x^{v^j}\mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2^{-1}]]$$

and it formally satisfies the equations defining $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ ([7, Sec. 1.1] (see also [25, Prop. 3.4.1])). Moreover, around a point $p = (p_1, p_2) \in X \setminus Y$ (i.e. $p_2 \neq 0$), φ_{v^j} defines a germ of holomorphic function for $k = 0, \ldots, b-1$. If $\frac{\beta - j}{b} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ the family $\{\varphi_{v^j} | j = 0, \ldots, b-1\}$ is linearly independent. The case $\frac{\beta - j}{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$ is a little subtler and it will be treated in Subsection 3.2.2.

What happens on Y? The previous φ_{v^j} does not define any holomorphic function at a point $(p_1, 0) \in Y$. Instead of $v^j = (j, \frac{\beta - j}{b})$ we can consider $v = (\beta, 0) \in X$. Notice that $Av = \beta$. Then we consider the expression

$$\varphi_v := x^v \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{\Gamma(v + (-mb, m) + \mathbf{1})} x_1^{-bm} x_2^m \in x^v\mathbb{C}[[x_1^{-1}, x_2]]$$

that formally satisfies the equations defining $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$. We will see (Proposition 3.2.5.3) that the germ $\varphi_{v,(p_1,0)}$ generates the vector space of formal solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ at the point $(p_1, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\} \subset X$, for $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$. Moreover, $\varphi_{v,(p_1,0)}$ will be used to generate the vector space of Gevrey solutions along Y of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ at $(p_1, 0)$ (see Propositions 3.2.5.4 and 3.2.5.5 for precise statements).

The paper has the following structure. In Section 2 we recall the main results, proved by Z. Mebkhout, about the irregularity complex of a holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module with respect to a hypersurface in a complex manifold. We also recall the definition of algebraic and geometric slopes and the Laurent-Mebkhout comparison theorem.

Section 3 contains four Subsections. In Subsection 3.1 we recall the basic properties of the Γ–series associated with an integer $d \times n$ matrix A and a complex parameter $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$ following [6] and [7, Section 1] and in the way these objects are handled in [25, Section 3.4].

Subsections 3.2, 3.3 and 3.4 are devoted to the computation of the cohomology of the irregularity complex of the hypergeometric system associated with a plane monomial curve, with a smooth monomial curve and with a general monomial curve respectively, at any point of the singular support of the system. The computation in the last case is only achieved for all but finitely many parameters $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. It is an open question to describe the set of exceptional parameters.

This paper can be considered as a natural continuation of [4] and [8] and its results should be related to the ones of [28]. We have used at many places some essential results of the book [25] about solutions of hypergeometric systems. Some related results can be found in [22] and also in [17] and [9].

The second author would like to thank N. Takayama for his very useful comments concerning logarithm-free hypergeometric series and for his help, in April 2003, computing the first example of Gevrey solutions: the case of the hypergeometric system associated with the matrix $A = (1\ 2)$ (i.e. with the plane curve $x^2 - y = 0$).

2 Irregularity of a $\mathcal{D}_X$–module

Let X be a complex manifold of dimension $n \geq 1$, $\mathcal{O}_X$ (or simply $\mathcal{O}$) the sheaf of holomorphic functions on X and $\mathcal{D}_X$ (or simply $\mathcal{D}$) the sheaf of linear differential operators with coefficients in $\mathcal{O}_X$. The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ has a natural structure of left $\mathcal{D}_X$–module.

2.1 Gevrey series

Let Z be a hypersurface in X with defining ideal $\mathcal{I}_Z$. We denote by $\mathcal{O}_{X|Z}$ the restriction to Z of the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_X$ (and we will also denote by $\mathcal{O}_{X|Z}$ its extension by 0 on X). Recall that the formal completion of $\mathcal{O}_X$ along Z is defined as

$$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Z}} := \varprojlim_k \mathcal{O}_X / \mathcal{I}_Z^k.$$

By definition $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Z}}$ is a sheaf on X supported on Z and has a natural structure of left $\mathcal{D}_X$–module. We will also denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Z}}$ the corresponding sheaf on Z. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}_Z$ the quotient sheaf defined by the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X|Z} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Z}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_Z \to 0.$$

The sheaf $\mathcal{Q}_Z$ has then a natural structure of left $\mathcal{D}_X$–module.

Remark 2.1.1.1. *If* $X = \mathbb{C}$ *and* $Z = \{0\}$ *then* $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Z},0}$ *is nothing but* $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ *the ring of formal power series in one variable* x*, while* $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Z},p} = 0$ *for any nonzero* $p \in X$*. In this case* $\mathcal{Q}_{Z,0} = \frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}}$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}_{Z,p} = 0$ *for* $p \neq 0$*.*

Definition 2.1.1.2. *Assume* $Y \subset X$ *is a smooth hypersurface and that around a point* $p \in X$ *the hypersurface* Y *is locally defined by* $x_n = 0$ *for some system of local coordinates around* p*. Let us consider a real number* $s \geq 1$*. A germ* $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} f_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) x_n^i \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}$ *is said to be Gevrey of order* s *(along* Y *at the point* p*) if the power series*

$$\rho_s(f) := \sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{i!^{s-1}} f_i(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) x_n^i$$

is convergent at p*.*

The sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}$ admits a natural filtration by the sub-sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)$ of Gevrey series of order s, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ where by definition $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(\infty) = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}$. So we have $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(1) = \mathcal{O}_{X|Y}$. We can also consider the induced filtration on $\mathcal{Q}_Y$, i.e. the filtration by the sub-sheaves $\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)$ defined by the exact sequence:

$$0 \to \mathcal{O}_{X|Y} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_Y(s) \to 0 \tag{1}$$

Definition 2.1.1.3. *Let* Y *be a smooth hypersurface in* $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ *and let* p *be a point in* Y*. The Gevrey index of a formal power series* $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}$ *with respect to* Y *is the smallest* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ *such that* $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$*.*

2.2 Irregularity complex and slopes

We recall here the definition of the irregularity (or the irregularity complex) of a left coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$–module given by Z. Mebkhout [21, (2.1.2) and page 98].

Recall that if $\mathcal{M}$ is a coherent left $\mathcal{D}_X$–module and $\mathcal{F}$ is any $\mathcal{D}_X$–module, the *solution complex* of $\mathcal{M}$ with values in $\mathcal{F}$ is by definition the complex

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$$

which is an object of $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ the derived category of bounded complexes of sheaves of $\mathbb{C}$–vector spaces on X. The cohomology sheaves of the solution complex are $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$ (or simply $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{F})$) for $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 2.2.1.4. *Let* Z *be a hypersurface in* X*. The irregularity of* $\mathcal{M}$ *along* Z *(denoted by* $\mathrm{Irr}_Z(\mathcal{M})$*) is the solution complex of* $\mathcal{M}$ *with values in* $\mathcal{Q}_Z$*, i.e.*

$$\mathrm{Irr}_Z(\mathcal{M}) := \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Q}_Z)$$

If Y is a smooth hypersurface in X we can also give the following definition (see [21, Déf. 6.3.7])

Definition 2.2.1.5. *For each* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$*, the irregularity of order* s *of* $\mathcal{M}$ *with respect to* Y *is the complex* $\mathrm{Irr}^{(s)}_Y(\mathcal{M}) := \mathbb{R}Hom_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))$*.*

Remark 2.2.1.6. *Since* $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(\infty) = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}$ *we have* $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(\infty)}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M})$*. By definition, the irregularity of* $\mathcal{M}$ *along* Z *(resp.* $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M})$*) is a complex in the derived category* $D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ *and its support is contained in* Z *(resp. in* Y*).*

If $X = \mathbb{C}$, $Z = \{0\}$ *and* $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{D}_X/\mathcal{D}_X P$ *is the* $\mathcal{D}_X$*–module defined by some nonzero linear differential operator* $P(x, \frac{d}{dx})$ *with holomorphic coefficients, then* $\mathrm{Irr}_Z(\mathcal{M})$ *is represented by the complex*

$$0 \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}} \xrightarrow{P} \frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}} \longrightarrow 0$$

where P *acts naturally on the quotient* $\frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}}$.

One of the main results in the theory of the irregularity of $\mathcal{D}_X$–modules is the following

Theorem 2.2.1.7. *[21, Th. 6.3.3] Assume that* Y *is a smooth hypersurface in* X *and* $\mathcal{M}$ *is a holonomic* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-module, then* $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M})$ *is a perverse sheaf on* Y *for any* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$*.*

A complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in D^b(\mathbb{C}_X)$ of sheaves of vector spaces is said to be *constructible* if there exists a stratification (X_λ) of X such that the cohomology sheaves of $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ are local systems on each X_λ. A constructible complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ satisfies the *support condition* on X if

1. $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i < 0$ or $i > n = dim(X)$.

2. The dimension of the support of $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ is less than or equal to $n - i$ for $0 \leq i \leq n$

A constructible complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ is said to be *perverse* on X (or even a *perverse sheaf* on X) if both $\mathcal{F}^\bullet$ and its dual $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathbb{C}_X}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathbb{C}_X)$ satisfy the support condition.

The category $\mathrm{Per}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ of perverse sheaves on X is an abelian category (see [3]).

Remark 2.2.1.8. *From [21, Cor. 6.3.5] each* $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(-)$ *for* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$*, is an exact functor from the category of holonomic* $\mathcal{D}_X$*-modules to the category of perverse sheaves on* Y*.*

Moreover, the sheaves $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M})$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ *form an increasing filtration of* $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(\infty)}(\mathcal{M}) = \mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M})$*. This filtration is called the Gevrey filtration of* $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M})$*.*

Let us denote by

$$\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M})) := \frac{\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M})}{\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(<s)}(\mathcal{M})}$$

for $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ the graded object associated with the Gevrey filtration of the irregularity $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M})$ (see [15, Sec. 2.4]).

We say, with [15, Sec. 2.4], that $1 \leq s < \infty$ is an *analytic slope* of $\mathcal{M}$ along Y at a point $p \in Y$ if p belongs to the closure of the support of $\mathrm{Gr}_s(\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M}))$. Y. Laurent ([13], [14]) also defines, in a completely algebraic way, the *algebraic slopes* of any coherent $\mathcal{D}_X$–module $\mathcal{M}$ along Y. These algebraic slopes can be algorithmically computed if the module $\mathcal{M}$ is defined by differential operators with polynomial coefficients [2]. In [15, Th. 2.5.3] Y. Laurent and Z. Mebkhout prove that for any holonomic $\mathcal{D}_X$–module the analytic and the algebraic slopes with respect to any smooth hypersurface coincide and that they are rational numbers. In [4] and [8] are described the slopes (with respect to any hyperplane in $\mathbb{C}^n$) of the hypergeometric system associated to any monomial curve. In [26] U. Walther and M. Schulze describe the slopes of any hypergeometric system with respect to any coordinate variety in $\mathbb{C}^n$ under some assumption on the semigroup associated with the system. By technical reasons the definition of slope given in [4] and [8] is slightly different to the one of Y. Laurent: a real number $-\infty \leq r \leq 0$ is called a slope in [4] and [8] if $\frac{r-1}{r}$ is an algebraic slope in the sense of Y. Laurent [14].

3 Irregularity of hypergeometric systems

Hypergeometric systems are defined on $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. We denote by $A_n(\mathbb{C})$ or simply A_n the complex Weyl algebra of order n, i.e. the ring of linear differential operators with coefficients in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x] := \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. The partial derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ will be denoted by ∂_i.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an integer $d \times n$ matrix with rank d and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Let us denote by $E_i(\beta)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, the operator $E_i(\beta) := \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \partial_j - \beta_i$. The toric ideal $I_A \subset \mathbb{C}[\partial] := \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n]$ associated with A is generated by the binomials $\Box_u := \partial^{u_+} - \partial^{u_-}$ for $u \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $Au = 0$ where $u = u_+ - u_-$ and u_+, u_- are both in $\mathbb{N}^n$ and with disjoint support.

The left ideal $A_n I_A + \sum_i A_n E_i(\beta) \subset A_n$ is denoted by $H_A(\beta)$ and it will be called the *hypergeometric ideal* associated with (A, β). The (global) hypergeometric module associated with (A, β) is by definition (see [6], [7]) the quotient $M_A(\beta) := A_n / H_A(\beta)$.

When $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ is considered as complex manifold, to the pair (A, β) we can also associated the corresponding analytic hypergeometric $\mathcal{D}_X$–module, denoted by $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$, which is the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_X$ modulo the sheaf of left ideals in $\mathcal{D}_X$ generated by $H_A(\beta)$.

3.1 Some preliminary results: Γ–series and Euler operators

3.1.1 Γ–series

In what follows we will use Γ–series following [6] and [7, Section 1] and in the way these objects are handled in [25, Section 3.4].

Let the pair (A, β) be as before (see Section 3). Assume $v \in X$. We will consider the Γ–series

$$\varphi_v := x^v \sum_{u \in L_A} \frac{1}{\Gamma(v + u + \mathbf{1})} x^u \in x^v \mathbb{C}[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm n}]]$$

where $\mathbf{1} = (1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in \mathbb{N}^n$, $L_A = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ and for $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ one has by definition $\Gamma(\gamma) = \prod_{i=1}^n \Gamma(\gamma_i)$ (where Γ is the Euler gamma function). Notice that the set $x^v \mathbb{C}[[x_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_n^{\pm n}]]$ has a natural structure of left $A_n(\mathbb{C})$-module although it is not a $\mathcal{D}_{X,0}$–module. Nevertheless, if $Av = \beta$ then the expression φ_v formally satisfies the operators defining $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$. Let us notice that if $u \in L_A$ then $\varphi_v = \varphi_{v+u}$.

If $v \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{<0})^n$ then the coefficient $\frac{1}{\Gamma(v+u+\mathbf{1})}$ is non-zero for all $u \in L_A$ such that $u_i + v_i \geq 0$ for all i with $v_i \in \mathbb{N}$. We also have the following equality

$$\frac{\Gamma(v + \mathbf{1})}{\Gamma(v + u + \mathbf{1})} = \frac{(v)_{u_-}}{(v + u)_{u_+}} \tag{2}$$

where for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ we have the convention

$$(z)_\alpha = \prod_{i,\, \alpha_i > 0} \prod_{j=0}^{\alpha_i - 1} (z_i - j).$$

Following [25, p. 132-133] the *negative support* of v (denoted by $\operatorname{nsupp}(v)$) is the set of indices i such that $v_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$. We say that v has *minimal negative support* if there is no $u \in L_A$ such that $\operatorname{nsupp}(v+u)$ is a proper subset of $\operatorname{nsupp}(v)$.

Assume $v \notin (\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{Z}_{<0})^n$ has minimal negative support. The negative support of v is then a non-empty set and $\Gamma(v+\mathbf{1}) = \infty$. Moreover for each $u \in L_A$ at least one coordinate of $v+u$ must be strictly negative (otherwise $\operatorname{nsupp}(v+u) = \emptyset \subsetneq \operatorname{nsupp}(v)$). So $\Gamma(v+u+\mathbf{1}) = \infty$ for all $u \in L_A$ and $\varphi_v = 0$.

If $v \notin (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{<0})^n$ does not have minimal negative support then there exists $u \in L_A$ such that $v+u$ has minimal negative support. If $\operatorname{nsupp}(v+u) = \emptyset$ then $\varphi_v = \varphi_{v+u} \neq 0$ while if $\operatorname{nsupp}(v+u) \neq \emptyset$ then $\varphi_v = \varphi_{v+u} = 0$.

Following *loc. cit.*, for any $v \in X$ we will consider the series

$$\phi_v := x^v \sum_{u \in N_v} \frac{(v)_{u_-}}{(v+u)_{u_+}} x^u$$

where $N_v = \{u \in L_A \mid \operatorname{nsupp}(v+u) = \operatorname{nsupp}(v)\}$.

If $Av = \beta$ then ϕ_v is a solution of the hypergeometric ideal $H_A(\beta)$ (i.e. ϕ_v is formally annihilated by $H_A(\beta)$) if and only if v has minimal negative support [25, Prop. 3.4.13].

For $v \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{<0})^n$ we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(v+\mathbf{1})}{\Gamma(v+u+\mathbf{1})} = \frac{(v)_{u_-}}{(v+u)_{u_+}}$$

and $\Gamma(v+\mathbf{1})\varphi_v = \phi_v$.

If $v \notin (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_{<0})^n$ then the coefficient of x^v in ϕ_v is non-zero (in fact this coefficient is 1) while it is zero in φ_v.

In order to simplify notations we will adopt in the sequel the following convention: for $v \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $u \in L_A$ we will denote

$$\Gamma[v;u] := \frac{(v)_{u_-}}{(v+u)_{u_+}}$$

if $u \in N_v$ and $\Gamma[v;u] := 0$ otherwise. With this convention we have

$$\phi_v = x^v \sum_{u \in L_A} \Gamma[v;u] x^u.$$

3.1.2 Euler operators

If $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ then the operator $E_A := \sum_i a_i x_i \partial_i$ is called the Euler operator associated with A. For each complex number β let us denote $E_A(\beta) := E_A - \beta$ and by $V(A,\beta)$ the vector space

$$\left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[[x]] \;:\; a_\alpha = 0, \text{ if } A\alpha = \beta \right\}.$$

Proposition 3.1.2.1. *Let $A = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then*

1. *The linear map*

$$E_A(\beta) : V(A,\beta) \longrightarrow V(A,\beta)$$

   is an automorphism.

2. *If* $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}A = \sum_i \mathbb{N}a_i$ *the linear map*

$$E_A(\beta) : \mathbb{C}[[x]] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x]]$$

   is an automorphism. It is also an automorphism acting on $\frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}}$.

3. *Assume all the coefficients of the Euler operator* $E_A = \sum_i a_i x_i \partial_i$ *to be strictly positive real numbers. Then the linear map*

$$E_A(\beta) : \frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}}$$

   is an automorphism.

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an integer $d \times n$ matrix with rank d and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Recall that we denote by $E_i(\beta)$ for $i = 1, \ldots, d$, the operator $E_i(\beta) := \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{ij} x_j \partial_j - \beta_i$.

Proposition 3.1.2.2. 1. *If* $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}A$ *then* $(E_1(\beta), \ldots, E_d(\beta))$ *induces an injective linear map from* $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ *to* $\mathbb{C}[[x]]^d$. *It is also injective from* $\mathbb{C}[[x]]/\mathbb{C}\{x\}$ *to* $(\mathbb{C}[[x]]/\mathbb{C}\{x\})^d$.

2. *Assume that there exists* $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^d$ *such that each component of the vector* $\underline{a} = \gamma A$ *is strictly positive, then the linear map*

$$E_{\underline{a}}(\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle) = \sum_i a_i x_i \partial_i - \langle \gamma, \beta \rangle : \mathbb{C}[[x]]/\mathbb{C}\{x\} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x]]/\mathbb{C}\{x\}$$

   is an automorphism for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$. *Here* $\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle = \sum_i \gamma_i \beta_i$. *Notice that* $E_{\underline{a}}(\langle \gamma, \beta \rangle) \in H_A(\beta)$.

Corollary 3.1.2.3. *Assume* $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}A$ *and recall that* $M_A(\beta) = A_n / H_A(\beta)$, *then*

i) $Ext^0_{A_n}(M_A(\beta), \mathbb{C}[[x]]) = 0$.

ii) $Ext^0_{A_n}(M_A(\beta), \frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}}) = 0$.

Corollary 3.1.2.4. *If the* $\mathbb{Q}$*-vector space generated by the rows of* A *contains a vector with strictly positive components then* $Ext^0_{A_n}(M_A(\beta), \frac{\mathbb{C}[[x]]}{\mathbb{C}\{x\}}) = 0$ *for all* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^d$.

Remark 3.1.2.5. *The* $\mathbb{Q}$*-vector space generated by the rows of the matrix* A *contains a vector with strictly positive components if and only the columns of* A *belong to one open half-space defined by some hyperplane passing through the origin. If the zero column does not appear as a column of* A*, the last condition holds if and only if* $\mathbb{N}A$ *is a positive semigroup. Recall that a semigroup* S *is said to be positive if* $S \cap (-S) = \{0\}$.

In what follows we will describe the irregularity, along coordinate hyperplanes, of the hypergeometric system associated with a monomial curve in $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. In fact we will see (Remarks 3.2.7.6 and 3.3.3.10) that it is enough to compute the irregularity along any hyperplane contained in the singular support of the system.

3.2 The case of a plane monomial curve

Assume $X = \mathbb{C}^2$ and let us denote by $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ the analytic hypergeometric system associated with a row integer matrix $A = (a\ b)$ and the complex number β.

Remark 3.2.1.6. *If $A = (1\ 1)$ then the hypergeometric ideal $H_A(\beta)$ is generated by $P = \partial_1 - \partial_2$ and $E(\beta) = x_1\partial_1 + x_2\partial_2 - \beta$. The multivalued function $(x_1+x_2)^\beta$ generates the vector space of holomorphic solutions of $M_A(\beta)$ at any point $p \in X \setminus (x_1 + x_2 = 0)$. If $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ then the vector space of holomorphic solutions at a point $p = (p_1, p_2)$ with $p_1 + p_2 = 0$ is generated by the polynomial $(x_1 + x_2)^\beta$ while if $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ this space is reduced to $\{0\}$.*

In the remaining part of this section we will assume, unless otherwise specified (see Remark 3.2.7.5), that $A = (a\ b)$ is an integer matrix with $0 < a < b$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We will assume without loss of generality that a, b are relatively prime.

The module $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ is the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_X$ modulo the sheaf of ideals generated by the operators $P := \partial_1^b - \partial_2^a$ and $E_A(\beta) := ax_1\partial_1 + bx_2\partial_2 - \beta$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$ being a system of coordinates in X. Sometimes we will write $E = E(\beta) = E_A(\beta)$ if no confusion is possible.

Although it can be deduced from general results ([6] and [1, Th. 3.9]) a direct computation shows that the singular support of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ is the line $Y = (x_2 = 0) \subset X$ and that $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ is holonomic.

3.2.2 Holomorphic solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ at a generic point

By [7, Th. 2] and [1, Cor. 5.21] the dimension of the vector space of holomorphic solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ at a point $p \in X \setminus Y$ equals b. A basis of such vector space of solutions can be described as follows. For $j = 0, \ldots, b-1$ let us consider

$$v^j = (j, \frac{\beta - ja}{b}) \in X$$

and the corresponding Γ–series

$$\phi_{v^j} = x^{v^j} \sum_{m\geq 0} \Gamma[v^j; u(m)] \left(\frac{x_1^b}{x_2^a}\right)^m \in x^{v^j}\mathbb{C}[[x_1, x_2^{-1}]]$$

where $u(m) = (bm, -am) \in L_A = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$, which defines a holomorphic function at any point $p = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) \in X$ with $\epsilon_2 \neq 0$. This can be easily proven by applying d'Alembert ratio test to the series in $\frac{x_1^b}{x_2^a}$

$$\psi := \sum_{m\geq 0} \Gamma[v^j; u(m)] \left(\frac{x_1^b}{x_2^a}\right)^m .$$

Writing $c_m := \Gamma[v^j; u(m)]$ we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \left|\frac{c_{m+1}}{c_m}\right| = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{(am)^a}{(bm)^b} = 0.$$

Notice that in general $\phi_{v^j} \notin \mathcal{O}_X(X \setminus Y)$.

3.2.3 Gevrey solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$

The only slope of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ along Y is $a/(a-b)$ (see [8]; see also [26]). We will describe the cohomology of the irregularity complex $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$, and moreover we will compute a basis of the vector spaces

$$\mathcal{H}^i(\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)))_p = \mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p$$

for $p \in X$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \le s \le \infty$.

Remember that $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ is a perverse sheaf on Y for any $1 \le s \le \infty$ [21, Th. 6.3.3].

Remark 3.2.3.1. *The support condition (see Subsection 2.2) means in this case (since* $\dim Y = 1$*) that the dimension of the support of* $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)))$ *is less than or equal to 1 and that the dimension of the support of* $\mathcal{H}^1(\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)))$ *is less than or equal to 0, while* $\mathcal{H}^i(\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))) = 0$ *for* $i \neq 0, 1$.

Lemma 3.2.3.2. *A free resolution of* $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ *is given by*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\psi_1} \mathcal{D}^2 \xrightarrow{\psi_0} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{M}_A(\beta) \longrightarrow 0 \tag{3}$$

where ψ_0 *is defined by the column matrix* $(P, E)^t$, ψ_1 *is defined by the row matrix* $(E+ab, -P)$ *and* π *is the canonical projection.*

Remark 3.2.3.3. *For any left* $\mathcal{D}_X$*–module* $\mathcal{F}$ *the solution complex* $\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{F})$ *is represented by*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\psi_0^*} \mathcal{F} \oplus \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\psi_1^*} \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\psi_0^*(f) = (P(f), E(f))$ *and* $\psi_1^*(f_1, f_2) = (E+ab)(f_1) - P(f_2)$ *for* f, f_1, f_2 *local sections in* $\mathcal{F}$.

3.2.4 Description of $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))_{(0,0)}$

Remark 3.2.4.1. *From Corollary 3.1.2.4 we have*

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{(0,0)} = 0$$

for $1 \le s \le \infty$ *and for all* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, *since* $a, b > 0$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)_{(0,0)} \subset \mathbb{C}[[x]]/\mathbb{C}\{x\}$.

Let us denote by $V(A, \beta, s)$ the vector space

$$\left\{ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^2} a_\alpha x^\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_{(0,0)} \, : \, a_\alpha = 0, \text{ if } A\alpha = \beta \right\}.$$

Notice that $V(A, \beta, s) = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_{(0,0)}$ if and only if $\beta \notin a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.2.4.2. *The* $\mathbb{C}$*–linear map* $E_A(\beta) : V(A, \beta, s) \longrightarrow V(A, \beta, s)$ *is a bijection for all* $1 \le s \le \infty$ *and* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. *Moreover, if* $\beta \notin a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$ *then* $E_A(\beta)$ *is an automorphism of* $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_{(0,0)}$ *for all* $1 \le s \le \infty$.

Proof. We have $\rho_s E_A(\beta) = E_A(\beta)\rho_s$ and then we can apply Proposition 3.1.2.1. ☐

Corollary 3.2.4.3. *$E_A(\beta)$ is an automorphism of the vector space $\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)_{(0,0)}$ for $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Proposition 3.2.4.4. *With the previous notations we have*

$$\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{(0,0)} = 0$$

$\forall \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $\forall s \geq 1$, $\forall i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The complex $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ is represented by the germ at $(0,0)$ of the following complex

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_Y(s) \xrightarrow{\psi_0^*} \mathcal{Q}_Y(s) \oplus \mathcal{Q}_Y(s) \xrightarrow{\psi_1^*} \mathcal{Q}_Y(s) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\psi_0^*(f) = (P(f), E(f))$ and $\psi_1^*(f_1, f_2) = (E+ab)(f_1) - P(f_2)$ for f, f_1, f_2 germs in $\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)$ (see Remark 3.2.3.3). In particular, we only need to prove the statement for $i = 0, 1, 2$.

For $i = 0$ the statement follows from Remark 3.2.4.1. For $i = 2$ it follows from Corollary 3.2.4.3 and the fact that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{(0,0)} = \mathrm{Coker}\, \psi_1^*.$$

So let see the case $i = 1$. Let us consider $(\overline{f}, \overline{g}) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_1^*)_{(0,0)}$ (i.e. $(E+ab)(\overline{f}) = P(\overline{g})$). We want to prove that there exists $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)_{(0,0)}$ such that $P(\overline{h}) = \overline{f}$ and $E(\overline{h}) = \overline{g}$, where the $(\overline{\ })$ means modulo $\mathcal{O}_{X|Y,(0,0)} = \mathbb{C}\{x\}$.

From Corollary 3.2.4.3 we have that there exists a unique $\overline{h} \in \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)_{(0,0)}$ such that $E(\overline{h}) = \overline{g}$. Since $PE = (E+ab)P$ and $(E+ab)(\overline{f}) = P(\overline{g})$ we have:

$$(E+ab)(\overline{f}) = P(\overline{g}) = P(E(\overline{h})) = (E+ab)(P(\overline{h}))$$

Since for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $E(\beta) + ab = E + ab$ is an injective linear map acting on $\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)_{(0,0)}$ (see Corollary 3.2.4.3) we also have $\overline{f} = \overline{P(h)}$. So $(\overline{f}, \overline{g}) = (P(\overline{h}), E(\overline{h})) \in \mathrm{Im}(\psi_0^*)_{(0,0)}$. ☐

3.2.5 Description of $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))_p$ for $p \in Y$, $p \neq (0,0)$

We will compute a basis of the vector space $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{(\epsilon,0)}$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. In this subsection we are writing $p = (\epsilon, 0)$ with $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

We are going to use Γ–series following ([6], [7, Section 1]) and in the way they are handled in [25, Section 3.4] (see Subsection 3.1.1).

In this case $L_A = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) = \{(-bm, am) \,|\, m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ and we will consider the family $v^k = (\frac{\beta - kb}{a}, k) \in X$ for $k = 0, \ldots, a-1$. They satisfy $Av^k = \beta$ and the corresponding Γ–series are

$$\phi_{v^k} = x^{v^k} \sum_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[v^k; u(m)] x_1^{-bm} x_2^{am} \in x^{v^k} \mathbb{C}[[x_1^{-1}, x_2]]$$

where $u(m) = (-bm, am)$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Although ϕ_{v^k} does not define in general any holomorphic germ at $(0,0)$ we will see that it defines a germ $\phi_{v^k,p}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, a-1$. Let us write $x_1 = t_1 + \epsilon$ and remind that $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$. We have

$$\phi_{v^k,p} = (t_1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\beta - bk}{a}} x_2^k \sum_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[v^k; u(m)] (t_1 + \epsilon)^{-bm} x_2^{am}.$$

Lemma 3.2.5.1. *1. If $\beta \in a\mathbb{N}+b\mathbb{N}$ then there exists a unique $0 \leq q \leq a-1$ such that ϕ_{v^q} is a polynomial. Moreover, the Gevrey index of $\phi_{v^k,p} \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}$ is $\frac{b}{a}$ for $0 \leq k \leq a-1$ and $k \neq q$.*

2. If $\beta \notin a\mathbb{N}+b\mathbb{N}$ then the Gevrey index of $\phi_{v^k,p} \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}$ is $\frac{b}{a}$ for $k = 0, \dots, a-1$.

Proof. The notion of Gevrey index is given in Definition 2.1.1.3.

1.- Let assume first that $\beta \in a\mathbb{N}+b\mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a unique $0 \leq q \leq a-1$ such that $\beta = qb + a\mathbb{N}$. Then for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough $\frac{\beta-qb}{a} - bm$ is a negative integer and the coefficient $\Gamma[v^q; u(m)]$ is zero. So ϕ_{v^q} is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ (and then $\phi_{v^q,p}(t_1, x_2)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[t_1, x_2]$) since for $\frac{\beta-qb}{a} - bm \geq 0$ the expression

$$x^{v^k} x_1^{-bm} x_2^{am}$$

is a monomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$. Moreover, for $0 \leq k \leq a-1$ and $k \neq q$ the formal power series $\phi_{v^k,p}(t_1, x_2)$ is not a polynomial.

Let us consider an integer number k with $0 \leq k \leq a-1$. Assume $\frac{\beta-bk}{a} \notin \mathbb{N}$. Then the formal power series $\phi_{v^k,p}(t_1, x_2)$ is not a polynomial. We will see that its Gevrey index is b/a. It is enough to prove that the Gevrey index of

$$\psi(t_1, x_2) := \sum_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[v^k; u(m)](t_1 + \epsilon)^{-bm} x_2^{am} = \sum_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[v^k; u(m)] \left(\frac{x_2^a}{(t_1 + \epsilon)^b} \right)^m$$

is b/a.

We need to prove that

$$\rho_s(\psi(t_1, x_2)) := \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{\Gamma[v^k; u(m)]}{(am)!^{s-1}} \left(\frac{x_2^a}{(t_1 + \epsilon)^b} \right)^m$$

is convergent for $s = b/a$ and divergent for $s < b/a$.

Considering $\rho_s(\psi(t_1, x_2))$ as a power series in $(x_2^a/(t_1 + \epsilon)^b)$ and writing

$$c_m := \frac{\Gamma[v^k; u(m)]}{(am)!^{s-1}}$$

we have that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \left| \frac{c_{m+1}}{c_m} \right| = \lim_{m \to \infty} \frac{(bm)^b}{(am)^{as}}$$

and then by using the d'Alembert's ratio test it follows that the power series $\rho_s(\psi(t_1, x_2))$ is convergent for $b \leq as$ and divergent for $b > as$.

□

Remark 3.2.5.2. *Recall that $\frac{a}{a-b}$ is the only slope of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ along Y (see [8], see also [26]) and that $b/a = 1 + \frac{1}{a/(b-a)}$ is the only gap in the Gevrey filtration of $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ (see Section 2.2).*

Proposition 3.2.5.3. *We have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}})_p \right) = a$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $p \in Y \setminus \{(0,0)\}$.*

Proof. Recall that $p = (\epsilon, 0)$ with $\epsilon \in \mathbb{C}^*$. The operators defining $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)_p$ are (using coordinates (t_1, x_2)) $P = \partial_1^b - \partial_2^a$ and $E_p(\beta) := at_1\partial_1 + bx_2\partial_2 + a\epsilon\partial_1 - \beta$. We will simply write $E_p = E_p(\beta)$.

First of all, we will prove the inequality

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}})_p \right) \leq a.$$

Assume that $f \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, x_2]]$, $f \neq 0$, satisfies $E_p(f) = P(f) = 0$. Then choosing $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$ such that $a\omega_2 > b\omega_1$, we have $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(E_p) = a\epsilon\partial_1$ and $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(P) = \partial_2^a$.

Then (see [25, Th. 2.5.5]) $\partial_1(\mathrm{in}_\omega(f)) = \partial_2^a(\mathrm{in}_\omega(f)) = 0$. So, $\mathrm{in}_\omega(f) = \lambda_l x_2^l$ for $0 \leq l \leq a-1$ and some $\lambda_l \in \mathbb{C}$. That implies the inequality.

Now, remind that

$$\phi_{v^k,p} = (t_1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\beta - bk}{a}} x_2^k \sum_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[v^k; u(m)](t_1 + \epsilon)^{-bm} x_2^{am}$$

and that the support of such a formal series in $\mathbb{C}[[t_1, x_2]]$ is contained in $\mathbb{N} \times (k + a\mathbb{N})$ for $k = 0, 1, \ldots, a-1$. Then the family $\{\phi_{v^k,p} \,|\, k = 0, \ldots, a-1\}$ is $\mathbb{C}$-linearly independent and they all satisfy the equations defining $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)_p$. □

Proposition 3.2.5.4. *If $\beta \notin a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$ then*

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbb{C}\phi_{v^k,p} & \text{if } s \geq \frac{b}{a} \\ 0 & \text{if } s < \frac{b}{a} \end{cases}$$

for all $p = (\epsilon, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\}$.

Proof. From the proof of Proposition 3.2.5.3 and Lemma 3.2.5.1 it follows that any linear combination $\sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \lambda_k \phi_{v^k,p}$ with $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}$ has Gevrey index equal to b/a if $\beta \notin a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$. □

Proposition 3.2.5.5. *If $\beta \in a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$ then*

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbb{C}\phi_{v^k,p} & \text{if } s \geq \frac{b}{a} \\ \mathbb{C}\phi_{v^q} & \text{if } s < \frac{b}{a} \end{cases}$$

for all $p = (\epsilon, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\}$ where q is the unique $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, a-1\}$ such that $\beta \in kb + a\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to the one of Proposition 3.2.5.4 and follows from Lemma 3.2.5.1.

□

Lemma 3.2.5.6. *The germ of $E := E_A(\beta)$ at any point $p = (\epsilon, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\}$ induces a surjective endomorphism on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$.*

Proof. We will prove that $E_p : \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_{(0,0)} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_{(0,0)}$ is surjective (using coordinates (t_1, x_2). It is enough to prove that $F := \partial_1 + bx_2u(t_1)\partial_2 - \beta u(t_1)$ induces a surjective endomorphism on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_{(0,0)}$, where $u(t_1) = (a(t_1 + \epsilon))^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}\{t_1\}$. For $s = 1$, The surjectivity of F follows from Cauchy-Kovalevskaya theorem. To finish the proof it is enough to notice that $\rho_s \circ F = F \circ \rho_s$ for $1 \leq s < +\infty$. For $s = +\infty$ the result is obvious. □

Corollary 3.2.5.7. *We have* $\mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p = 0$ *for all* $p = (\epsilon, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\}$, $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$.

Proof. We first consider the germ at p of the solution complex of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ as described in Remark 3.2.3.3 for $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)$. Then we apply that $E + ab$ is surjective on $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}(s)$ (Lemma 3.2.5.6). ☐

Remark 3.2.5.8. *From Corollary 3.2.5.7 and the long exact sequence in cohomology associated with (1), we have that* $\mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p = 0$ *for all* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. *We do not need to use here that* $\operatorname{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ *is a perverse sheaf on* Y. *In addition, using Proposition 3.2.4.4 we have* $\mathcal{E}xt^2(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)) = 0$, $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ *for all* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

3.2.6 Computation of $\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p$ for $p \in Y$, $p \neq (0,0)$

Lemma 3.2.6.1. *Assume that* $f \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, x_2]]$ *satisfies* $E_p(f) = 0$. *Then* $f = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} f^{(k)}$ *where*

$$f^{(k)} = \sum_{m \geq 0} f_{k+am}(t_1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\beta - bk}{a} - bm} x_2^{k+am}$$

with $f_{k+am} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Let us sketch the proof. We know that $\operatorname{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(E_p)(\operatorname{in}_\omega(f)) = 0$ [25, Th. 2.5.5] for all $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

If $\omega_1 > 0$ then $\operatorname{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(E_p) = a\epsilon\partial_1$ and so, $\operatorname{in}_\omega(f) \in \mathbb{C}[[x_2]]$ for all ω with $\omega_1 > 0$. On the other hand, if $w_1 = 0$ then $\operatorname{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(E_p) = E_p$ and in particular $E_p(\operatorname{in}_{(0,1)}(f)) = 0$ and $\operatorname{in}_\omega(\operatorname{in}_{(0,1)}(f)) \in \mathbb{C}[x_2]$, for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^2_{>0}$.

There exists a unique (k, m) with $k \in \{0, \ldots, a-1\}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\operatorname{in}_{(0,1)}(f) = x_2^{am+k} h(t_1)$ for some $h(t_1) \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1]]$ with $h(0) \neq 0$.

There exists $f_{am+k} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ such that t_1 divides

$$\operatorname{in}_{(0,1)}(f) - f_{am+k}(t_1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\beta - bk}{a} - bm} x_2^{k+am} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1]] x_2^{am+k}.$$

But we have

$$E_\epsilon(\operatorname{in}_{(0,1)}(f) - f_{am+k}(t_1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\beta - bk}{a} - bm} x_2^{k+am}) = 0.$$

This implies that $\operatorname{in}_{(0,1)}(f) = f_{am+k}(t_1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\beta - bk}{a} - bm} x_2^{k+am}$.

We finish by induction by applying the same argument to $f - \operatorname{in}_{(0,1)}(f)$ since $E_p(f - \operatorname{in}_{(0,1)}(f)) = 0$. ☐

Let's recall that $Y = (x_2 = 0) \subset X = \mathbb{C}^2$ and $v^k = (\frac{\beta - bk}{a}, k)$ for $k = 0, \ldots, a-1$.

Remark 3.2.6.2. *As in the proof of Lemma 3.2.5.1 if* $\beta \in a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$ *then there exists a unique* $0 \leq q \leq a-1$ *such that* $\beta \in qb + a\mathbb{N}$. *Let us write* $m_0 = \frac{\beta - qb}{a}$.

The series ϕ_{v^q} *is in fact a polynomial in* $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$ *since for* $m_0 - bm \geq 0$ *the expression* $x^{v^q} x_1^{-bm} x_2^{am}$ *is a monomial in* $\mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2]$.

Let us write m' *the smallest integer number satisfying* $bm' \geq m_0 + 1$ *and write* $u(m') = (-bm', am')$ *and*

$$\widetilde{v^q} := v^q + u(m') = (m_0 - bm', q + am').$$

Let us notice that $A\widetilde{v^q} = \beta$ and that $\widetilde{v^q}$ does not have minimal negative support (see [25, p. 132-133]) and then the $\Gamma-$series $\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}$ is not a solution of $H_A(\beta)$. We have

$$\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}} = x^{\widetilde{v^q}} \sum_{m\in\mathbb{N};\, bm\geq m_0+1} \Gamma[\widetilde{v^q}; u(m)] x_1^{-bm} x_2^{am}.$$

It is easy to prove that $H_A(\beta)_p(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ for all $p=(\epsilon,0)\in X$ with $\epsilon \neq 0$, and that $\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p}$ is a Gevrey series of index b/a.

Proposition 3.2.6.3. *For all $p \in Y\setminus\{(0,0)\}$ and $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{E}xt^0\,(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } s \geq b/a \\ \\ 0 & \text{if } s < b/a \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we also have

i) If $\beta \notin a\mathbb{N}+b\mathbb{N}$ then:

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \mathbb{C}\overline{\phi_{v^k,p}}$$

for all $s \geq b/a$

ii) If $\beta \in a\mathbb{N}+b\mathbb{N}$ then for all $s\geq b/a$ we have :

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p = \sum_{k=0,k\neq q}^{a-1} \mathbb{C}\overline{\phi_{v^k,p}} + \mathbb{C}\overline{\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p}}$$

with $\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}$ as in Remark 3.2.6.2.

Here $\overline{\phi}$ stands for the class modulo $\mathcal{O}_{X|Y,p}$ of $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}(s)$.

Proof. It follows from Propositions 3.2.5.4 and 3.2.5.5, from the proofs of Lemma 3.2.5.1and Proposition 3.2.5.3 by using the long exact sequence in cohomology and Proposition 3.2.7.1 below. □

3.2.7 Computation of $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p$ for $p\in Y$, $p\neq(0,0)$

Proposition 3.2.7.1. *For all $\beta\in\mathbb{C}$ we have*

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p = 0$$

for all $s\geq b/a$ and for all $p\in Y$, $p\neq(0,0)$.

Proof. We will use the germ at p of the solution complex of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ with values in $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)$ (see Remark 3.2.3.3):

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s) \xrightarrow{\psi_0^*} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s) \xrightarrow{\psi_1^*} \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s) \rightarrow 0$$

Let us consider (f,g) in the germ at p of $\ker(\psi_1^*)$, i.e. $f,g \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$ such that $(E_p + ab)(f) = P(g)$. We want to prove that there exists $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$ such that $P(h) = f$ and $E_p(h) = g$.

From Lemma 3.2.5.6, there exists $\widehat{h} \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$ such that $E_p(\widehat{h}) = g$. Then:

$$(E_p + ab)(f) = P(g) = P(E_p(\widehat{h})) = (E_p + ab)P(\widehat{h})$$

and so, $(E_p + ab)(P(\widehat{h}) - f) = 0$. We have

$$(f,g) = (P(\widehat{h}), E_p(\widehat{h})) + (\widehat{f}, 0)$$

where $\widehat{f} = f - P(\widehat{h}) \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$ satisfies $(E_p + ab)(\widehat{f}) = 0$, and so $(\widehat{f}, 0) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\psi_1^*)$. In order to finish the proof it is enough to prove that there exists $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$ such that $P(h) = \widehat{f}$ and $E_p(h) = 0$.

Since $h, \widehat{f} \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, x_2]]$, $(E_p + ab)(\widehat{f}) = 0$ and $E_p(h)$ must be 0, it follows from Lemma 3.2.6.1 that

$$h = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \sum_{m \geq 0} h_{k+am} (t_1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\beta - bk}{a} - bm} x_2^{k+am}$$

with $h_{k+am} \in \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\widehat{f} = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \sum_{m \geq 0} f_{k+am} (t_1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{\beta - bk}{a} - b(m+1)} x_2^{k+am}$$

with $f_{k+am} \in \mathbb{C}$.

The equation $P(h) = \widehat{f}$ is equivalent to the recurrence relation:

$$h_{k+a(m+1)} = \frac{1}{(k + a(m+1))_a} \left(\left(\frac{\beta - bk}{a} - bm \right)_b h_{k+am} - f_{k+am} \right) \tag{4}$$

for $k = 0, \ldots, a-1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The solution to this recurrence relation proves that there exists $h \in \mathbb{C}[[t_1, x_2]]$ such that $P(h) = \widehat{f}$ and $E_p(h) = 0$.

We need to prove now that $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$.

Dividing (4) by $((k + a(m+1))!)^{s-1}$ we get:

$$\frac{h_{k+a(m+1)}}{(k + a(m+1))!^{s-1}} = \frac{1}{((k + a(m+1))_a)^s} \left(\left(\frac{\beta - bk}{a} - bm \right)_b \frac{h_{k+am}}{(k+am)!^{s-1}} - \frac{f_{k+am}}{(k+am)!^{s-1}} \right)$$

So it is enough to prove that there exists $C, D > 0$ such that

$$\left| \frac{h_{k+am}}{(k+am)!^{s-1}} \right| \leq C D^m \tag{5}$$

for all $0 \leq k \leq a-1$ and $m \geq 0$. We will argue by induction on m.

Since $\rho_s(\widehat{f})$ is convergent, there exists $\widetilde{C}, \widetilde{D} > 0$ such that

$$\frac{|f_{k+am}|}{(k+am)!^{s-1}} \leq \widetilde{C}\widetilde{D}^m$$

for all $m \geq 0$.

Since $s \geq b/a$, we have

$$\lim_{m\to+\infty} \frac{|(\frac{\beta-bk}{a} - bm)_b|}{((k+a(m+1))_a)^s} \leq (b/a)^b$$

and then there exists an upper bound $C_1 > 0$ of the set

$$\{\frac{\left|(\frac{\beta-bk}{a} - bm)_b\right|}{((k+a(m+1))_a)^s} : m \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Let us consider

$$C = \max\{\widetilde{C}, \frac{h_k}{k!^{s-1}}\}$$

and

$$D = \max\{\widetilde{D}, C_1 + 1\}.$$

So, the case $m = 0$ of (5) follows from the definition of C. Assume $|\frac{h_{k+am}}{(k+am)!^{s-1}}| \leq CD^m$. We will prove inequality (5) for $m+1$. From the recurrence relation we deduce:

$$\left|\frac{h_{k+a(m+1)}}{(k+a(m+1))!^{s-1}}\right| \leq C_1 \left|\frac{h_{k+am}}{(k+am)!^{s-1}}\right| + \widetilde{C}\widetilde{D}^m$$

and using the induction hypothesis and the definition of C, D we get:

$$\left|\frac{h_{k+a(m+1)}}{(k+a(m+1))!^{s-1}}\right| \leq (C_1+1)CD^m \leq CD^{m+1}$$

In particular $\rho_s(h)$ converges and $h \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$. □

Proposition 3.2.7.2. *For all* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ *we have:* $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)) = 0$*, for all* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$*.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{(0,0)} = 0$ (see Subsection 3.2.4) it is enough to prove that $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p = 0$ for all $p \in Y \setminus \{(0,0)\}$.

From Corollary 3.2.5.7 (for $s = 1$), Proposition 3.2.7.1 and the long exact sequence in cohomology we get the equality for $s \geq b/a$.

On the other hand, we know that the only possible gap in the Gevrey filtration of $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ is achieved at $s = b/a$ (see Subsection 2.2) and $\mathcal{Q}_Y(1) = 0$, so, we have the equality for $1 \leq s < b/a$. □

Using again the long exact sequence in cohomology we can prove the following corollaries:

Corollary 3.2.7.3. *Assume* $\beta \notin a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$*. then* $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)) = 0$ *for all* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$*.*

Corollary 3.2.7.4. *Assume* $\beta \in a\mathbb{N} + b\mathbb{N}$ *and* $p \in Y \setminus \{(0,0)\}$. *Then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \geq b/a \\ 1 & \text{if } 1 \leq s < b/a \end{cases}$$

Remark 3.2.7.5. *For the sake of completeness let us treat the case where* $A = (-a\ b)$ *with* a, b *strictly positive integer numbers and* $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

We have $L_A = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) = \{m(b, a) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. *The toric ideal* I_A *is the principal ideal in* $\mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \partial_2]$ *generated by* $P = \partial_1^b \partial_2^a - 1$.

An easy computation proves that the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ *is defined by the ideal* $(\xi_1\xi_2, -ax_1\xi_1 + bx_2\xi_2)$. *Then* $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ *is holonomic and its singular support is the union of the coordinates axes* $Y_1 \cup Y_2 \subset X = \mathbb{C}^2$ *with* $Y_i = (x_i = 0)$.

Assume $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$ *is a real weight vector with strictly positive components. Then* $\mathrm{in}_\omega(I_A)$ *is the monomial ideal generated by* $\partial_1^b \partial_2^a$ *and its standard pairs (see [25, Section 3.2]) are*

$$\{(\partial_1^j, \{2\}) \mid j = 0, \ldots, b-1\} \cup \{(\partial_2^k, \{1\}) \mid k = 0, \ldots, a-1\}.$$

Then we will consider the families

$$v^j := (j, \frac{\beta + ja}{b}) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \ \text{ for } j = 0, \ldots, b-1$$

and

$$w^k := (\frac{kb - \beta}{a}, k) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \ \text{ for } k = 0, \ldots, a-1$$

We will also consider the Γ*–series*

$$\phi_{v^j} = x^{v^j} \sum_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[v^j; u(m)] x_1^{bm} x_2^{am}$$

where $u(m) = (bm, am) \in L_A$. *The series* ϕ_{v^j} *defines a germ of holomorphic function at any point* $p \in X \setminus (Y_2)$. *In fact we have* $\phi_{v^j} \in x_2^{\frac{\beta + ja}{b}} \mathcal{O}_X(X)$.

On the other hand we have the analogous property for the Γ*–series*

$$\phi_{w^k} = x^{w^k} \sum_{m \geq 0} \Gamma[w^k; u(m)] x_1^{bm} x_2^{am}$$

and in this case we have in fact $\phi_{w^k} \in x_1^{\frac{kb - \beta}{a}} \mathcal{O}_X(X)$.

The family $\{\phi_{v^j}, \phi_{w^k} \mid j = 0, \ldots, b-1;\ k = 0, \ldots, a-1\}$ *is linearly independent (and so*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \left(\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_X)_p\right) \geq a + b$$

for $p \in X \setminus (Y_1 \cup Y_2)$*) unless if* $\beta = kb - ja$ *for some* $k = 0, \ldots, a-1$ *and some* $j = 0, \ldots, b-1$. *In this last case* $v^j = w^k$ *and* $\phi_{v^j} = \phi_{w^k}$.

So, if $\beta = kb - ja$, *we need new series than the* Γ*–series to generate the vector space of holomorphic solutions at* p.

We can use in this case multivalued functions of type $\sum_{\alpha,\gamma} c_{\alpha,\gamma}(x) x^\alpha (\log x)^\gamma$ *applying the method developed in [25, Section 3.5].*

$(0,\beta_{\rm esp})$	$(p,\beta_{\rm esp})$	$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),-)$		$\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),-)$	
$(0,\beta_{\rm gen})$	$(p,\beta_{\rm gen})$				
$\mathcal{O}_{X\|Y}$		1	1	1	1
		0	0	0	0
$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X\|Y}}(s)$		1	a	1	0
		0	a	0	0
$\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)$		0	a	0	0
		0	a	0	0

Figure 1: Table 1

Assume $p=(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2)\in X\setminus(Y_1\cup Y_2)$. The operators defining $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ are (using coordinates $t_1=x_1-\epsilon_1, t_2=x_2-\epsilon_2$),

$$P=\partial_1^b\partial_2^a-1 \quad \textit{and } E_p(\beta):=-at_1\partial_1+bt_2\partial_2-a\epsilon_1\partial_1+b\epsilon_2\partial_2-\beta.$$

Assume $\omega=(1,1)$. Then $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_A(\beta))_p$ contains the ideal $J\subset A_2$ generated by $(\partial_1^b\partial_2^a,-a\epsilon_1\partial_1+b\epsilon_2\partial_2)$ which is also generated by $(\partial_1^{a+b},-a\epsilon_1\partial_1+b\epsilon_2\partial_2)$.

Assume $f\in\mathbb{C}[[t_1,t_2]]$ is a solution of the system $P(f)=E_p(\beta)(f)=0$. Then by [25, Th. 2.2.5] the homogenous polynomial $\mathrm{in}_\omega(f)$ is a solution of the ideal J and then $\mathrm{in}_\omega(f)$ has degree $a+b-1$. Then the solution vector space of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)_p$ with values in $\mathbb{C}[[t_1,t_2]]$ has dimension less than or equal to $a+b$. So,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),\mathcal{O}_X)_p\right)=a+b$$

for $p\in X\setminus(Y_1\cup Y_2)$.

In a similar way it can be proved that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),\mathcal{O}_X)_p\right)=a$$

for $p\in Y_2$, $p\neq(0,0)$ and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),\mathcal{O}_X)_p\right)=b$$

for $p\in Y_1$, $p\neq(0,0)$.

Finally for $p=(0,0)$ it is easy to prove that the dimension of $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),\mathcal{O}_X)_p$ is 1 if $\beta\in -a\mathbb{N}+b\mathbb{N}$ and 0 otherwise.

Let us summarize the main results of this Section in the following table. Here $A=(a\ b)$, $s\geq b/a$, $Y=(x_2=0)\subset X=\mathbb{C}^2$, $p\in Y\setminus\{(0,0)\}$, $\beta_{\rm esp}\in a\mathbb{N}+b\mathbb{N}$ and $\beta_{\rm gen}\notin a\mathbb{N}+b\mathbb{N}$. Moreover $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),\mathcal{F})=0$ for $i\neq 0,1$ $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{O}_{X|Y},\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s),\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)$ and $1\leq s\leq+\infty$ (see Corollary 3.2.5.7 and Remark 3.2.5.8).

Remark 3.2.7.6. *It is easy to prove that the system $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ has no slopes with respect to the line $x_1=0$. With the notations of e.g. [4] any L–characteristic variety of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ with respect to $x_1=0$ is defined by $\{\partial_1^b, ax_1\partial_1+bx_2\partial_2\}$ and then it is (F,V)–bihomogeneous. This fact can also be deduced from [26].*

3.3 The case of a smooth monomial curve

Let $A = (1\, a_2 \cdots a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ the corresponding analytic hypergeometric system on $X = \mathbb{C}^n$. We will simply denote $\mathcal{D}$ for the sheaf $\mathcal{D}_X$ of linear differential operators with holomorphic coefficients.

Although it can be deduced form general results (see [6] and [1, Th. 3.9]), a direct computation shows in this case that $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ is holonomic and that its singular support is $Y = (x_n = 0)$. Let us denote by $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ the hyperplane $x_{n-1} = 0$.

Recall that the irregularity $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)) = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))$ (Section 2.2) is a perverse sheaf on Y for $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ (see [21, Th. 6.3.3]).

The main result in this Subsection is

Theorem 3.3.1.7. *Let $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the cohomology sheaves of $\mathrm{Irr}_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ satisfy:*

- *i)* $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)) = 0$ *for* $1 \leq s < a_n/a_{n-1}$.
- *ii)* $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{|Y \cap Z} = 0$, $\forall s \geq 1$.
- *iii)* $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} (\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p) = a_{n-1}$, *for all* $s \geq a_n/a_{n-1}$ *and* $p \in Y \setminus Z$.
- *iv)* $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)) = 0$, *for* $i \geq 1$ *and* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$.

The main ingredients in the proof of Theorem 3.3.1.7 are: Corollary 3.3.2.3, the results in Section 3.2 for the case of monomial plane curves, Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Theorem for Gevrey series (see [16, Cor. 2.2.4]) and Kashiwara's constructibility theorem [10].

3.3.2 Preliminaries

In the sequel we will use some results concerning restriction of hypergeometric systems.

Theorem 3.3.2.1. [4, Th. 4.4] *Let $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then for $i = 2, \ldots, n$, one has a natural $\mathcal{D}'$-module isomorphism*

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}H_A(\beta) + x_i\mathcal{D}} \cong \frac{\mathcal{D}'}{\mathcal{D}'H_{A'}(\beta)}$$

where $A' = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_{i-1}\ a_{i+1}\ \cdots\ a_n)$ and $\mathcal{D}'$ is the sheaf of linear differential operators with holomorphic coefficients on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ (with coordinates $x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n$).

Theorem 3.3.2.2. *Let $A = (1\ ka\ kb)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 \leq a < b$, $1 < ka < kb$ and a, b relatively prime. Then for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ there exist $\beta_0, \ldots, \beta_{k-1} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ to $\{x_1 = 0\}$ is isomorphic to the $\mathcal{D}'$-module*

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}H_A(\beta) + x_1\mathcal{D}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta_i)$$

where $\mathcal{D}'$ is the sheaf of linear differential operators on $x_1 = 0$ and $A' = (a\ b)$. Moreover, for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we can take $\beta_i = \frac{\beta - i}{k}$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$.

An ingredient in the proof of Theorem 3.3.1.7 is the following

Corollary 3.3.2.3. *Let* $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ *be an integer row matrix with* $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$. *Then there exist* $\beta_i \in \mathbb{C}$, $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$ *such that the restriction of* $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ *to* $(x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_{n-2} = 0)$ *is isomorphic to the* $\mathcal{D}'$*-module*

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\mathcal{D}H_A(\beta) + (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n-2})\mathcal{D}} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta_i)$$

where $\mathcal{D}'$ *is the sheaf of linear differential operators on* $(x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_{n-2} = 0)$, $A' = (a_{n-1}\ a_n)$ *and* $k = \gcd(a_{n-1}, a_n)$. *Moreover, for all but finitely many* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ *we can take* $\beta_i = \beta - i$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, k-1$.

Let us fix some notations.

Notation 3.3.2.4. *Let* A *be an integer* $d \times n$*–matrix of rank* d *and* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}^n$. *For any weight vector* $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$ *and any ideal* $J \subset \mathbb{C}[\partial] = \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n]$ *we denote by* $\mathrm{in}_\omega(J)$ *the initial ideal of* J *with respect to the graduation on* $\mathbb{C}[\partial]$ *induced by* w. *According to [25, p. 106] the* fake initial ideal *of* $H_A(\beta)$ *is the ideal* $\mathrm{fin}_\omega(H_A(\beta)) = A_n \mathrm{in}_\omega(I_A) + A_n(A\theta - \beta)$ *where* $\theta = (\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_n)$ *and* $\theta_i = x_i\partial_i$.

Assume now $A = (1\ ka\ kb)$ *is an integer row matrix with* $1 \leq a < b$, $1 < ka < kb$ *and* a, b *relatively prime.*

Let us write $P_1 = \partial_2^b - \partial_3^a$, $P_2 = \partial_1^{ka} - \partial_2$, $P_3 = \partial_1^{kb} - \partial_3$ *and* $E = \theta_1 + ka\theta_2 + kb\theta_3 - \beta$. *It is clear that* $P_1 \in H_A(\beta) = \langle P_2, P_3, E\rangle \subset A_3$.

Let us consider $\prec$ *a monomial order on the monomials in* A_3 *satisfying:*

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} \gamma_1 + a\gamma_2 + b\gamma_3 < \gamma_1' + a\gamma_2' + b\gamma_3' \\ \text{or} \\ \gamma_1 + a\gamma_2 + b\gamma_3 = \gamma_1' + a\gamma_2' + b\gamma_3' \text{ and } 3a\gamma_2 + 2b\gamma_3 < 3a\gamma_2' + 2b\gamma_3' \end{array}\right\} \Rightarrow x^\alpha\partial^\gamma \prec x^{\alpha'}\partial^{\gamma'}$$

Write $\omega = (1, 0, 0)$ *and let us denote by* $\prec_\omega$ *the monomial order on the monomials in* A_3 *defined as*

$$x^\alpha\partial^\gamma \prec_\omega x^{\alpha'}\partial^{\gamma'} \overset{Def.}{\Longleftrightarrow} \left\{\begin{array}{l} \gamma_1 - \alpha_1 < \gamma_1' - \alpha_1' \\ \text{or} \\ \gamma_1 - \alpha_1 = \gamma_1' - \alpha_1' \text{ and } x^\alpha\partial^\gamma \prec x^{\alpha'}\partial^{\gamma'} \end{array}\right.$$

Proposition 3.3.2.5. *Let* $A = (1\ ka\ kb)$ *be an integer row matrix with* $1 \leq a < b$, $1 < ka < kb$ *and* a, b *relatively prime. Then*

$$\mathrm{fin}_\omega(H_A(\beta)) = A_3 \mathrm{in}_\omega(I_A) + A_3 E = A_3(P_1, E, \partial_1^k)$$

for $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}^* := \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ *and for all* $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^*$ *big enough.*

Definition 3.3.2.6. [25, Def. 5.1.1] *Let* $I \subseteq A_n(\mathbb{C})$ *be a holonomic ideal and* $\widetilde{\omega} \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$. *The b-function* I *with respect to* $\widetilde{\omega}$ *is the monic generator of the ideal*

$$\mathrm{in}_{(-\widetilde{\omega},\widetilde{\omega})}(I) \cap \mathbb{C}[\tau]$$

where $\tau = \widetilde{\omega}_1\theta_1 + \cdots + \widetilde{\omega}_n\theta_n$.

Corollary 3.3.2.7. *Let $A = (1\ ka\ kb)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 \leq a < b$, $1 < ka < kb$ and a, b relatively prime. Then the b-function of $H_A(\beta)$ with respect to $\omega = (1, 0, 0)$ is*

$$b(\tau) = \tau(\tau - 1) \cdots (\tau - (k - 1))$$

for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. From [25, Th. 3.1.3] for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} H_A(\beta) = \mathrm{fin}_\omega(H_A(\beta)).$$

Then by using Proposition 3.3.2.5 we get

$$\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_A(\beta)) = A_3(P_1, E, \partial_1^k)$$

for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. An easy computation shows that $\{P_1, E, \partial_1^k\}$ is a Gröbner basis of the ideal $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_A(\beta))$ with respect to any monomial order $>$ satisfying $\theta_3 > \theta_1, \theta_2$ and $\partial_2^b > \partial_3^a$. In particular we can consider the lexicographic order

$$x_3 > x_2 > \partial_2 > \partial_3 > x_1 > \partial_1$$

which is an elimination order for x_1 and ∂_1. So we get

$$\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_A(\beta)) \cap \mathbb{C}[x_1]\langle\partial_1\rangle = \langle\partial_1^k\rangle$$

and since $x_1^k \partial_1^k = \theta_1(\theta_1 - 1) \cdots (\theta_1 - (k - 1))$, we have

$$\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_A(\beta)) \cap \mathbb{C}[\theta_1] = \langle\theta_1(\theta_1 - 1) \cdots (\theta_1 - (k - 1))\rangle$$

This proves the corollary. □

Remark 3.3.2.8. *Corollary 3.3.2.7 can be related to [4, Th. 4.3] proving that for $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$, the b-function of $H_A(\beta)$ with respect to e_i is $b(\tau) = \tau$, for $i = 2, \ldots, n$. Here $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the vector with a 1 in the i-th coordinate and 0 elsewhere.*

Recall (see e.g. [25, Def. 1.1.3]) that a Gröbner basis of a left ideal $I \subset A_n$ with respect to $(-\omega, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (or simply with respect to $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n$) is a finite subset $G \subset I$ such that $I = A_n G$ and $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(I) = A_n \mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} G$.

Proposition 3.3.2.9. *Let $A = (1\ ka\ kb)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 \leq a < b$, $1 < ka < kb$ and a, b relatively prime. For all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, a Gröbner basis of $H_A(\beta) \subset A_3$ with respect to $\omega = (1, 0, 0)$ is*

$$\{P_1, P_2, P_3, E, R\}$$

for some $R \in A_3$ satisfying $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(R) = \partial_1^k$.

Lemma 3.3.2.10. ([24, Cor. 5.4] and [25, Th. 4.5.10]) *Let $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$. Then*

$$\partial_1 : \mathcal{M}_A(\beta) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_A(\beta + 1)$$

is a $\mathcal{D}$-module isomorphism if $\beta \neq -1$.

Remark 3.3.2.11. *From Lemma 3.3.2.10 we have* $\mathcal{M}_A(m_1) \simeq \mathcal{M}_A(m_2)$ *for all* $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_A(-m_1) \simeq \mathcal{M}_A(-m_2)$ *for all* $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$. *Moreover, if* $\beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$ *then we have* $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta) \simeq \mathcal{M}_A(\beta + \ell)$ *for all* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. (**Theorem 3.3.2.2**) We have $A = (1\ ka\ kb)$ with $1 \leq a < b$, $1 < ka < kb$ and a, b relatively prime. From Lemma 3.3.2.10 it is enough to compute the restriction for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. We will compute the restriction of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ to $\{x_1 = 0\}$ by using an algorithm by T. Oaku and N. Takayama [25, Algorithm 5.2.8].

Let $r = k - 1$ be the biggest integer root of the Bernstein polynomial $b(\tau)$ of $H_A(\beta)$ with respect to $\omega = (1, 0, 0)$ (see Corollary 3.3.2.7). We consider the free $\mathcal{D}'$-module with basis $\mathcal{B}_{k-1}$ (where $\mathcal{B}_m := \{\partial_1^i : \ i = 0, 1, \ldots, m\}$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathcal{B}_m = \emptyset$ if $m < 0$):

$$(\mathcal{D}')^{r+1} = (\mathcal{D}')^k \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{D}' \partial_1^i$$

The algorithm [25, Algorithm 5.2.8] uses in this case the elements in the Gröbner basis $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, E, R\}$ of $H_A(\beta)$ (given by Proposition 3.3.2.9 for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$) with ω–order less than or equal to $k - 1$. Each operator $\partial_1^i P_1$, $\partial_1^i E$, $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$, must be written as a $\mathbb{C}$–linear combination of monomials $x^u \partial^v$ and then substitute $x_1 = 0$ into this expression. The result is an element of $(\mathcal{D}')^k = \mathcal{D}' \mathcal{B}_k$. In this case we get:

$$(\partial_1^i P_1)_{|x_1=0} = P_1 \partial_1^i,\ (\partial_1^i E)_{|x_1=0} = (kax_2\partial_2 + kbx_3\partial_3 - \beta + i)\partial_1^i,\ i = 0, \ldots, k-1$$

and this proves the theorem. □

Remark 3.3.2.12. *We can apply Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Theorem for Gevrey series (see [16, Cor. 2.2.4]), Theorem 3.3.2.1, Theorem 3.3.2.2 and [4, Prop. 4.2] to the hypergeometric system* $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ *with* $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$, $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$, $k = \gcd(a_{n-1}, a_n)$ *and* $A' = \frac{1}{k}(a_{n-1}, a_n)$ *and we get a* $\mathcal{D}_{Z'}$*-module isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_{|Z'} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{Z'}}(\mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta_i), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z'|Y'}}(s))$$

for all $1 \leq s \leq \infty$ *where* $Y = (x_n = 0)$, $Z' = (x_1 = x_2 = \cdots = x_{n-2} = 0)$ *and* $Y' = Y \cap Z'$. *Notice that coordinates in* X, Y, Z', Y' *are* $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $y = (x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1})$, $z = (x_{n-1}, x_n)$ *and* $y' = (x_{n-1})$ *respectively.*

Moreover the last isomorphism induces a $\mathbb{C}$*–linear isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{E}xt^j_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_{(0,\ldots,0,\epsilon_{n-1},0)} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{E}xt^j_{\mathcal{D}_{Z'}}(\mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta_i), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z'|Y'}}(s)))_{(\epsilon_{n-1},0)}$$

for all $\epsilon_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$, $s \geq 1$ *and* $j \in \mathbb{N}$ *and we also have equivalent results for* $\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)$ *and* $\mathcal{Q}_{Y'}(s)$ *instead of* $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)$ *and* $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{Z'|Y'}}(s)$.

In particular, using the results of Subsection 3.2, we have:

Proposition 3.3.2.13. *Let $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$. Then for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{E}xt^j_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{(0,\ldots,0,\epsilon_{n-1},0)}) = \begin{cases} a_{n-1} & \text{if } s \geq a_n/a_{n-1},\ j = 0 \text{ and } \epsilon_{n-1} \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Corollary 3.3.2.14. *Let $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$. Then for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$*

$$\mathrm{Ch}(Irr_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))) \subseteq T^*_Y Y \bigcup T^*_{Z\cap Y} Y$$

for $s \geq s_0 := \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$.

Proof. Here $\mathrm{Ch}(Irr_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)))$ is the characteristic cycle of the perverse sheaf $Irr_Y^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ (see e.g. [15, Sec. 2.4]). The Corollary follows from the inclusion

$$\mathrm{Ch}^{(s)}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)) \subset T^*_X X \cup T^*_Y X \cup T^*_Z X$$

for $s \geq s_0$ and then by applying [15, Prop. 2.4.1]. □

Proof. (**Theorem 3.3.1.7**) Let us consider the Whitney stratification $Y = Y_1 \cup Y_2$ of $Y = (x_n = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ defined as

$$Y_1 := Y \setminus (Y \cap Z) = (x_n = 0, x_{n-1} \neq 0) \equiv \mathbb{C}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{C}^*.$$

$$Y_2 := Y \cap Z = (x_n = x_{n-1} = 0) \equiv \mathbb{C}^{n-2} \times \{0\}.$$

Let us consider the perverse sheaf on Y defined by $\mathcal{F}^\bullet = \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))$ for $1 \leq s \leq \infty$.

By Kashiwara's constructibility Theorem [10], the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence (see [19] and [12], [11]) and Corollary 3.3.2.14, we have that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{|Y_j}$$

is a locally constant sheaf of finite rank for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $j = 1, 2$.

To finish the proof it is enough to apply 3.3.2.13. □

Remark 3.3.2.15. *Last proof uses Kashiwara's constructibility Theorem and the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence, two deep results in $\mathcal{D}$–module theory. It would be interesting to give a more elementary proof of Theorem 3.3.1.7.*

3.3.3 Gevrey solutions of $M_A(\beta)$

We will compute a basis of the vector spaces $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p$ for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$, $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$.

Lemma 3.3.3.1. *Let $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ satisfying*

a) $w_i > a_i\omega_1$ *for* $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ *or* $i = n$

b) $a_{n-1}\omega_1 > \omega_{n-1}$

c) $\omega_{n-1} > \omega_1, \dots, \omega_{n-2}$

Then $H_A(\beta)$ *has* a_{n-1} *exponents with respect to* ω *and they have the form*

$$v^j = (j, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}}, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

$j = 0, 1, \dots, a_{n-1} - 1$.

Proof. The toric ideal I_A is generated by $P_{1,i} = \partial_1^{a_i} - \partial_i \in \mathbb{C}[\partial]$, $i = 2, \dots, n$.

Let $\omega = (\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ be a weight vector satisfying the statement of the lemma. We have:

$$\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} P_{1,i} = \begin{cases} \partial_i & \text{if } i = 2, \dots, n-2, n \\ \partial_1^{a_{n-1}} & \text{if } i = n-1 \end{cases}$$

In particular $\{P_{1,i} : \ i = 2, \dots, n\}$ is a Gröbner basis of I_A with respect to $(-\omega, \omega)$ and then

$$\mathrm{in}_\omega I_A = \langle \partial_2, \dots, \partial_{n-2}, \partial_1^{a_{n-1}}, \partial_n \rangle.$$

The standard pairs of $\mathrm{in}_\omega(I_A)$ are ([25, Sec. 3.2]):

$$\mathcal{S}(\mathrm{in}_\omega(I_A)) = \{(\partial_1^j, \{n-1\}) : \ j = 0, 1, \dots, a_{n-1} - 1\}$$

To the standard pair $(\partial_1^j, \{n-1\})$ we associate, following [25], the *fake exponent*

$$v^j = (j, 0, \dots, 0, \frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}}, 0)$$

of the module $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ with respect to ω. It is easy to prove that these fake exponents are in fact exponents since they have minimal negative support [25, Th. 3.4.13]. □

Remark 3.3.3.2. *With the above notation, the* Γ*–series* ϕ_{v^j} *associated with* v^j *for* $j = 0, \dots, a_{n-1} - 1$*, is defined as*

$$\phi_{v^j} = x^{v^j} \sum_{u \in L_A} \Gamma[v^j; u] x^u$$

where $L_A = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ *is the lattice generated by the vectors* $\{u^2, \dots, u^n\}$ *and* u^i *is the* $(i-1)$*-th row of the matrix*

$$\begin{pmatrix} -a_2 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -a_{n-2} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{n-1} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -a_n & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For any $\mathbf{m} = (m_2, \dots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ *let us denote* $u(\mathbf{m}) := \sum_{i=2}^n m_i u^i \in L_A$*. We can write*

$$\phi_{v^j} = x^{v^j} \sum_{\substack{m_2, \dots, m_{n-1}, m_n \geq 0 \\ \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}} \Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})] x^{u(\mathbf{m})}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1}-1$. *We have for* $\mathbf{m} = (m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$ *such that* $j - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1} m_{n-1} \geq 0$

$$\Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})] = \frac{(\frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}})_{m_{n-1}} j!}{m_2! \cdots m_{n-2}! m_n! (j - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1} m_{n-1})!}$$

and

$$x^{u(\mathbf{m})} = x_1^{-\sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1} m_{n-1}} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}} x_{n-1}^{-m_{n-1}} x_n^{m_n}$$

The proof of the following theorem uses that the unique slope of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ with respect to Y is $-k_0 = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n-1} - a_n}$ (see [4, Ths. 4.5 and 4.8]) but does not use Theorem 3.3.1.7.

Theorem 3.3.3.3. *Let* $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ *be an integer row matrix with* $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$, $Y = (x_n = 0) \subset X$ *and* $Z = (x_{n-1} = 0) \subset X$. *Then we have:*

1.

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p = \sum_{j=0}^{a_{n-1}-1} \mathbb{C}\phi_{v^j, p}$$

for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, $p \in Y \setminus Z$ *and* $s \geq a_n/a_{n-1}$

2.

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta \notin \mathbb{N} \\ \mathbb{C}\phi_{v^q} & \text{if } \beta \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$ *and* $1 \leq s < a_n/a_{n-1}$, *where* q *is the unique element in* $\{0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1\}$ *satisfying* $\frac{\beta - q}{a_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* ϕ_{v^q} *is a polynomial.*

Proof. Step 1.- Using [7] and [25] we will describe a_{n-1} linearly independent solutions living in some Nilsson series ring. Then, using initial ideals, we will bound the dimension of $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}})_p$ by a_{n-1} for p in $Y \setminus Z$.

The series

$$\{\phi_{v^j} \mid j = 0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1\} \subset x^{v^j} \mathbb{C}[[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2, \ldots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}^{-1}, x_n]]$$

described in Remark 3.3.3.2, are linearly independent since $\mathrm{in}_\omega(\phi_{v^j}) = x^{v^j}$ for $0 \leq j \leq a_{n-1} - 1$. They are solutions of the system $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ (see [6], [7, Section 1],[25, Section 3.4]).

On the other hand

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}})_p \leq a_{n-1} \tag{6}$$

for $p = (\epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_{n-1}, 0)$, $\epsilon_{n-1} \neq 0$, since $\mathrm{in}_\omega(I_A) = \langle \partial_2, \ldots, \partial_{n-2}, \partial_1^{a_{n-1}}, \partial_n \rangle$ and the germ of E at p is nothing but $E_p := E + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i \epsilon_i \partial_i$ (here $a_1 = 1$) and satisfies

$$\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(E_p) = a_{n-1} \epsilon_{n-1} \partial_{n-1}$$

for ω verifying the hypothesis of Lemma 3.3.3.1. By [25, Th. 2.5.5] if $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}$ is a solution of the ideal $H_A(\beta)$ then $\mathrm{in}_\omega(f)$ must the annihilated by $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_A(\beta))$. That proves inequality (6).

Step 2.- We are going to prove that the series ϕ_{v^j} generate the vector space

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}})_p$$

for $p \in Y \setminus Z$.

It is enough to prove that $\phi_{v^j,p} \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}$ for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$. In fact we will prove that $\phi_{v^j} \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(a_n)_p$ for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$.

If $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ then there exists a unique $q \in \{0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1\}$ such that $\frac{\beta - q}{a_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{N}$ and then ϕ_{v^q} is a polynomial.

For $0 \leq j \leq a_{n-1} - 1$, $j \neq q$, the expression ϕ_{v^j} does not define any formal power series at a point $Z \cap Y$ ($Z = \{x_{n-1} = 0\}$) since the exponents of x_{n-1} in ϕ_{v^j} are not in $\mathbb{N}$.

We will see that these series are Gevrey or order a_n with respect to Y at any point in $Y \setminus Z$. Let us write $t_{n-1} = \frac{1}{x_{n-1}}$ and define

$$\psi_{v^j}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, t_{n-1}, x_n) := \phi_{v^j}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, \frac{1}{t_{n-1}}, x_n).$$

We will see that ψ_{v^j} are Gevrey series of order a_n at any point in $\mathbb{C}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\}$.

We have

$$\psi_{v^j} = t_{n-1}^{-\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}}} \sum_{\substack{m_2,\ldots,m_{n-1},m_n \geq 0 \\ \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}} \Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})] x_1^{j - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1} m_{n-1}} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}} t_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} x_n^{m_n}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$ and in particular

$$\psi_{v^j} \in t_{n-1}^{-\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, t_{n-1}, x_n]].$$

Notice that, unless $\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{N}$, this power series does not define any convergent power series (nor a Gevrey power series of order less than a_n/a_{n-1}) at any point in $\mathbb{C}^{n-2} \times \mathbb{C}^* \times \{0\}$, since the sub-sum of $t_{n-1}^{\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}}} \psi_{v^j}$ corresponding to $m_2 = \cdots = m_{n-2} = 0$, $m_{n-1} = a_n m$, $m_n = a_{n-1} m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, is a Gevrey series of index a_n/a_{n-1}.

Let us write

$$\Psi_{v^j} := t_{n-1}^{\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}}} \psi_{v^j} = \sum_{m_n \geq 0} \Psi_{j,m_n} x_n^{m_n}$$

with

$$\Psi_{j,m_n} = \sum_{\substack{m_2,\ldots,m_{n-1} \geq 0 \\ \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1} m_{n-1}}} \Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})] x_1^{j - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1} m_{n-1}} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}} t_{n-1}^{m_{n-1}} \tag{7}$$

and remind that

$$\Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})] = \frac{(\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}})_{m_{n-1}} j!}{m_2! \cdots m_{n-2}! m_n! (j - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1} m_{n-1})!}.$$

To prove that $\rho_s(\Psi_{v^j})$ is holomorphic at each point in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1} \times \{0\}$ (for $s \geq a_n$) it is enough to prove that for all $m_n \in \mathbb{N}$, the series Ψ_{j,m_n} is convergent on $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and that for all $R > 0$ there exists $L_1(R) > 0$ satisfying:

$$\sum_{\substack{m_2,\ldots,m_{n-1}\geq 0\\ \sum a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}}} \left|\Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})]\right| R^{2a_{n-1}m_{n-1}} < \frac{L_1(R)(a_n m_n)!}{m_n!} \tag{8}$$

Let us take any real number $R > 0$. If inequality (8) holds then the series (7) converges in the polydisc

$$(|x_1| < R) \times (|x_2| < R^{a_2}) \times \cdots \times (|x_{n-2}| < R^{a_{n-2}}) \times (|t_{n-1}| < R^{a_{n-1}})$$

in $\mathbb{C}^{n-1}$ and the series $\rho_s(\Psi_{v^j})$ (for $s \geq a_n$) converges in the poly-disc

$$(|x_1| < R) \times (|x_2| < R^{a_2}) \times \cdots \times (|x_{n-2}| < R^{a_{n-2}}) \times (|t_{n-1}| < R^{a_{n-1}}) \times (|x_n| < 1).$$

So, if inequality (8) holds for any $R > 0$, the series $\phi_{v^j,p}$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}(a_n)$ for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$.

Notice that there exists a real number $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\left|\left(\frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}}\right)_{m_{n-1}}\right| \leq \lambda^{m_{n-1}} m_{n-1}!$$

Notice also that the sets

$$C_j(m_{n-1}, m_n) := \{(m_2, \ldots, m_{n-2}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-3} : \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1} - a_n m_n\}$$

$$C'_j(m_{n-1}) := \{(m_2, \ldots, m_{n-2}) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-3} : \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}\}$$

are finite sets, $C_j(m_{n-1}, m_n) \subseteq C'_j(m_{n-1})$ and that the number of points in $C'_j(m_{n-1})$ is a polynomial in m_{n-1}, which we will denote by $h_j(m_{n-1})$.

Moreover, using the inequality

$$\frac{1}{(m-k)!} \leq \frac{k!\, 2^m}{m!}$$

for $m = j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i$, $k = a_n m_n$ and since

$$2^m \leq 2^{m + \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i} = 2^{j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}}$$

we get:

$$\frac{1}{(j - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1})!} \leq \frac{(a_n m_n)! 2^{j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}}}{(j - \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1})!}$$

So, to prove the inequality (8) for all $R > 0$ it is enough to prove that there exist $r, C > 0$ such that for all $m_2, \ldots, m_{n-2} \geq 0$ satisfying

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}$$

we have:

$$\left|\Gamma[v^j;u(\mathbf{m})]\right| \leq \frac{\lambda^{m_{n-1}} j! m_{n-1}! (a_n m_n)!\, 2^{j+a_{n-1}m_{n-1}}}{m_2! \cdots m_{n-2}! m_n! (j - \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1})!} < \frac{C^{m_{n-1}} (a_n m_n)!\, 2^{j+a_{n-1}m_{n-1}}}{m_n! (m_{n-1}!)^r} \tag{9}$$

The inequality (9) implies the inequality (8) for

$$L_1(R) = 2^j \sum_{m_{n-1}\geq 0} \frac{h_j(m_{n-1})(R^{2a_{n-1}} 2^{a_{n-1}} C)^{m_{n-1}}}{(m_{n-1}!)^r} < +\infty$$

since the power series $\sum_{m\geq 0} \frac{h_j(m) z^m}{(m!)^r}$ defines an entire function in z.

Using the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{k!(m-k)!} \leq \frac{2^m}{m!}$$

for all $m, k \geq 0$, $m \geq k$ and since

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} m_i \leq \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1} m_{n-1}$$

we can prove that there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that for all $m_2, \dots, m_{n-2} \geq 0$ with $\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1} m_{n-1}$ we have

$$\frac{1}{m_2! \dots m_{n-2}!} \leq \frac{C_0^{m_{n-1}}}{(\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} m_i)!} \tag{10}$$

To prove the inequality (9) we will distinguish two cases:

1) If $j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i \geq \frac{3}{2} m_{n-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} m_i$ then:

$$\frac{(1/2)^{2(j+a_{n-1}m_{n-1}-\sum_{i=2}^{n-2}(a_i-1)m_i)}}{(j+a_{n-1}m_{n-1}-\sum_{i=2}^{n-2}(a_i-1)m_i)!^2} \leq \frac{1}{(2(j+a_{n-1}m_{n-1}-\sum_{i=2}^{n-2}(a_i-1)m_i))!} \leq \frac{1}{(3m_{n-1})!}$$

and then, using the inequality (10), we can prove that there exists $C_1 > 0$ such that:

$$\frac{m_{n-1}!}{m_2! \cdots m_{n-2}! (j - \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i + a_{n-1} m_{n-1})!} < \frac{C_1^{m_{n-1}} (2m_{n-1})!^{1/2}}{(3m_{n-1})!^{1/2}} < \frac{C_1^{m_{n-1}}}{(m_{n-1}!)^{1/2}}$$

2) If $j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i < \frac{3}{2} m_{n-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} m_i$ then :

$$m_{n-1} < \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} \left(\frac{a_i - 1}{a_{n-1} - 3/2}\right) m_i < \left(\frac{a_{n-2} - 1}{a_{n-1} - 3/2}\right) \sum_{i=2}^{n-2} m_i$$

and $\left(\frac{a_{n-2}-1}{a_{n-1}-3/2}\right) < 1$.

Taking $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $\frac{a_{n-2}-1}{a_{n-1}-3/2} = \frac{r_1}{r_1+r_2}$ we get:

$$\frac{1}{(r_1\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} m_i)!} < \frac{1}{((r_1+r_2)m_{n-1})!}$$

and then, using the inequality (10), we can prove that there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that:

$$\frac{1}{m_2!^{r_1}\cdots m_{n-2}!^{r_1}} < \frac{C_2^{m_{n-1}}}{m_{n-1}!^{r_1+r_2}}$$

And then

$$\frac{m_{n-1}!}{m_2!\cdots m_{n-2}!(j-\sum_{i=2}^{n-2} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1})!} < \frac{C_2^{m_{n-1}}}{(m_{n-1}!)^{r_2/r_1}}$$

So, taking $C = \max\{C_1, C_2\}$ and $r = \min\{r_2/r_1, 1/2\}$ we can prove (9) and then it is proven that for all $s \geq a_n$ the series $\{\phi_{v^j,p} : \ j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1}-1\}$ form a basis of $\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p$ for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$.

Moreover, since the unique slope of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ with respect to Y is $-k_0 = \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_{n-1}-a_n}$ (see [4, Ths. 4.5 and 4.8]) the only gap in the filtration of $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ is achieved at a_n/a_{n-1} (see [15, Th. 2.4.2]). Then

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(a_n))_p = \mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}))_p$$

and

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(a_n))_p = \mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}))_p.$$

That proves the theorem. □

Remark 3.3.3.4. *The bounds used in the proof of Theorem 3.3.3.3 are far to be sharp, especially inequality (9) and so, using these methods, it is not possible to give a direct computation of the Gevrey index of the series* ϕ_{v^j}*. We have used an indirect method for computing that Gevrey index, using the comparison theorem for algebraic and geometric slopes for holonomic* $\mathcal{D}$*–modules [15, Th. 2.4.2] and the description of the algebraic slopes of the system* $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ *[4, Ths. 4.5 and 4.8].*

Let $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$. Then for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ the characteristic variety of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ is

$$\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)) = T_X^*X \cup T_Y^*X$$

(see e.g. [4]).

Then from Kashiwara's constructibility Theorem [10] we can deduce that for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the sheaf

$$\mathcal{E}xt^j(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_X)_{|Y}$$

is locally constant and then the sheaf

$$\mathcal{E}xt^j(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_{|Y} \tag{11}$$

is also locally constant.

From Corollary 3.3.2.3 and Remark 3.3.2.11, we deduce that for $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ there exists $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta) \simeq \mathcal{M}_A(\beta - l)$ and

$$\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)_{|V} \simeq \mathcal{M}_A(\beta - l)_{|V} \simeq \bigoplus_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathcal{M}_{(a_{n-1}\ a_n)}(\beta - l - i)$$

with $V = \{x_1 = \cdots = x_{n-2} = 0\}$, $k = \gcd(a_{n-1}, a_n)$.

On the other hand, if $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ then $\beta - l - i \notin a_{n-1}\mathbb{N} + a_n\mathbb{N}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k-1$, and we get:

$$\mathcal{E}xt^j(\mathcal{M}_{(a_{n-1}\ a_n)}(\beta - l - i), \mathcal{O}_{X\cap V|Y\cap V}) = 0$$

By using Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Theorem for $s = 1$ and the fact that the sheaf (11) is locally constant for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, we get:

Lemma 3.3.3.5. *If $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$ then $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y}) = 0$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.*

We also get in a similar way

Lemma 3.3.3.6. *If $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ then for $i = 0, 1$ the sheaf $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})$ is locally constant of rank 1 on Y and $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y}) = 0$ for $i \neq 0, 1$.*

Remark 3.3.3.7. *Let us recall here the notations introduced in Lemma 3.3.3.1. For $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}^n_{>0}$ satisfying*

1. *$w_i > a_i\omega_1$, for $2 \leq i \leq n-2$ or $i = n$*
2. *$a_{n-1}\omega_1 > \omega_{n-1}$*
3. *$\omega_{n-1} > \omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{n-2}$*

we have proved that $H_A(\beta)$ has a_{n-1} exponents with respect to ω and that they have the form:

$$v^j = (j, 0, \ldots, 0, \frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}}, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

$j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$.

The corresponding Γ–series ϕ_{v^j} is defined as:

$$\phi_{v^j} = x^{v^j} \sum_{\substack{m_2,\ldots,m_{n-1},m_n \geq 0 \\ \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}}} \Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})] x^{u(\mathbf{m})}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$, where for any $\mathbf{m} = (m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ we denote $u(\mathbf{m}) := \sum_{i=2}^n m_i u^i \in L_A$.

For $\mathbf{m} = (m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1}$ such that $j - \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1} \geq 0$, we have

$$\Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})] = \frac{(\frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}})_{m_{n-1}} j!}{m_2! \cdots m_{n-2}! m_n! (j - \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1})!}$$

and

$$x^{u(\mathbf{m})} = x_1^{-\sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}} x_{n-1}^{-m_{n-1}} x_n^{m_n}.$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.2.5.1 if $\beta \in a_{n-1}\mathbb{N} + a_n\mathbb{N}$ then there exists a unique $0 \leq q \leq a_{n-1} - 1$ such that $\beta = qa_n + a_{n-1}\mathbb{N}$. Let us write $m_0 = \frac{\beta - qa_n}{a_{n-1}}$.

Then for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ big enough $m_0 - a_n m$ is a negative integer and the coefficient $\Gamma[v^q; u(\mathbf{m})]$ is zero and then ϕ_{v^q} is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x]$.

Recall that $u^{n-1} = (a_{n-1}, 0, \ldots, -1, 0) \in L_A$ and let us write

$$\widetilde{v^q} = v^q + (m_0 + 1)u^{n-1} = (q + (m_0 + 1)a_{n-1}, 0, \ldots, 0, -1, 0) = (\beta + a_{n-1}, 0, \ldots, 0, -1, 0).$$

We have $A\widetilde{v^q} = \beta$ an the corresponding Γ–series is

$$\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}} = x^{\widetilde{v^q}} \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in M(q)} \Gamma[\widetilde{v^q}; u(\mathbf{m})] x^{u(\mathbf{m})}$$

where for $\mathbf{m} = (m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ one has $u(\mathbf{m}) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} m_i u^i$ and

$$M(q) := \{(m_2, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-1} \,|\, q + (m_0 + m_{n-1} + 1)a_{n-1} - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i \geq 0\}.$$

Let us notice that $\widetilde{v^q}$ does not have minimal negative support (see [25, p. 132-133]) and then the Γ–series $\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}$ is not a solution of $H_A(\beta)$. We will prove that $H_A(\beta)_p(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p}) \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,p}$ for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$ and that $\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p}$ is a Gevrey series of index a_n/a_{n-1}.

Theorem 3.3.3.8. *Let $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$, $Y = (x_n = 0) \subset X$ and $Z = (x_{n-1} = 0) \subset X$. Then for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$ and $s \geq a_n/a_{n-1}$ we have:*

1. *If $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}$, then:*

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p = \sum_{j=0}^{a_{n-1}-1} \mathbb{C}\overline{\phi_{v^j,p}}.$$

2. *If $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$, then there exists a unique $q \in \{0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1\}$ such that $m_0 = \frac{\beta - q}{a_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{N}$ and we have:*

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p = \sum_{q \neq j=0}^{a_{n-1}-1} \mathbb{C}\overline{\phi_{v^j,p}} + \mathbb{C}\overline{\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p}}.$$

Here $\overline{\phi}$ stands for the class modulo $\mathcal{O}_{X|Y,p}$ of $\phi \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y},p}(s)$.

Proof. 1. It follows from Theorem 3.3.3.3 and Lemma 3.3.3.5 using the long exact sequence of cohomology.

Let us prove *2.* Since $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)) = 0$ (see Theorem 3.3.1.7) and applying Theorem 3.3.3.3, Lemma 3.3.3.6 and the long exact sequence in cohomology we get that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y}(s))_{|Y \setminus Z}$$

is zero for $s \geq a_n/a_{n-1}$ and locally constant of rank 1 for $1 \leq s < a_n/a_{n-1}$. We also have that

$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y}(s))_{|Y \cap Z}$$

is locally constant of rank 1 for all $s \geq 1$).

Assume $s \geq a_n/a_{n-1}$. We consider the following long exact sequence of locally constant sheaves on $Y' = Y \setminus Z$ (with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$):

$$0 \to \mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_{|Y'} \to \mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_{|Y'} \xrightarrow{\rho} \mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{|Y'} \to \mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_{|Y'} \to 0$$

and for all $p \in Y'$ we have:

$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_p \simeq \mathbb{C}$$
$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))_p \simeq \mathbb{C}^{a_{n-1}}$$
$$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p \simeq \mathbb{C}^{a_{n-1}}$$
$$\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_p \simeq \mathbb{C}$$

Since $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a unique $q = 0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$ such that $\frac{\beta - q}{a_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{N}$ and then $\phi_{v^q} \in \mathbb{C}[x]$ generates $\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_{|Y'} = \mathrm{Ker}(\rho)$.

Using the above exact sequence and the first isomorphism theorem we get that the family

$$\{\overline{\phi_{v^j,p}} : \ 0 \leq j \leq a_{n-1} - 1, j \neq q\}$$

is linearly independent in $\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)_p$, for all $p \in Y'$.

In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 3.3.1.7 it can be proved that $\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p} \in \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s)_p$ for all $p \in Y'$ and $s \geq a_n/a_{n-1}$.

Writing $t_{n-1} = x_{n-1}^{-1}$ and defining:

$$\psi_{\widetilde{v^q}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, t_{n-1}, x_n) := x^{-\widetilde{v^q}} \phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, \frac{1}{t_{n-1}}, x_n)$$

we have that

$$\psi_{\widetilde{v^q}} \in \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, t_{n-1}, x_n]]$$

Taking the subsum of $\psi_{\widetilde{v^q}}$ for $m_2 = \cdots = m_{n-2} = 0, \ m_n = a_{n-1}m, \ m_{n-1} = a_n m, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we get the power series

$$\sum_{m \geq 0} c_m \left(t_{n-1}^{a_n} x_n^{a_{n-1}}\right)^m$$

where

$$c_m = \frac{(-1)^{a_n m}(a_n m)!}{(a_{n-1}m)!}$$

This power series has Gevrey index $s_0 = a_n/a_{n-1}$ with respect to $x_n = 0$. Then $\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}$ has Gevrey index $s_0 = a_n/a_{n-1}$.

We have $E(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}) = P_i(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}) = 0$, for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-2, n$ and $P_{n-1}(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}})$ is a meromorphic function with poles along Z (and holomorphic on $X \setminus Z$):

$$P_{n-1}(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}) = \sum_{\underline{m} \in \widetilde{M}(q)} \frac{(\beta + a_{n-1})! x_1^{q - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}(m_0 + 1)} x_2^{m_2} \cdots x_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n^{m_n}}{m_2! \cdots m_{n-2}! m_n! (q - \sum_{i \neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}(m_0 + 1))!}$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}(q) = \{(m_2, \ldots, m_{n-2}, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^{n-2} | \sum a_i m_i \leq q + a_{n-1}(m_0 + 1) = \beta + a_{n-1}\}$$

is a finite set (recall that $m_0 = \frac{\beta - q}{a_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{N}$).

In particular, $H_A(\beta) \bullet (\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}) \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X(X \setminus Z)$.

So,

$$\overline{\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p}} \in \mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p$$

for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$ and $s \geq a_n/a_{n-1}$.

In order to finish the proof we will see that for all $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ ($j = 0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$; $j \neq q$) and for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$ we have

$$\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p} - \sum_{j \neq q} \lambda_j \phi_{v^j,p} \notin \mathcal{O}_{X|Y,p}.$$

Let us write

$$\psi_{v^j}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, t_{n-1}, x_n) := \phi_{v^j}(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, \frac{1}{t_{n-1}}, x_n)$$

Assume to the contrary that there exist $p \in Y \setminus Z$ and $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ such that:

$$\phi_{\widetilde{v^q},p} - \sum_{j \neq q} \lambda_j \phi_{v^j,p} \in \mathcal{O}_{X|Y,p}$$

Let us consider the holomorphic function at p defined as

$$f := x^{\widetilde{v^q}} \psi_{\widetilde{v^q},p} - \sum_{j \neq q} \lambda_j \psi_{v^j,p}$$

We have the following equality of holomorphic functions at p:

$$\rho_s(f + \sum_{j \neq q} \lambda_j \psi_{v^j}) = \rho_s(x^{\widetilde{v^q}} \psi_{\widetilde{v^q}})$$

for $s > a_n$.

The function $\rho_s(x^{\widetilde{v^q}} \psi_{\widetilde{v^q}})$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^n$ while each $\rho_s(\psi_{v^j})$ has the form $t_{n-1}^{-\frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}}} \psi_j$ with ψ_j holomorphic in $\mathbb{C}^n$.

Making a loop around the t_{n-1} axis ($\log t_{n-1} \mapsto \log t_{n-1} + 2\pi i$) we get the equality:

$$\rho_s(\widehat{f} + \sum_{j \neq q} c_j \lambda_j \psi_{v^j}) = \rho_s(x^{\widetilde{v^q}} \psi_{\widetilde{v^q}})$$

where $c_j = e^{-\frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}} 2\pi i} \neq 1$ (since $\frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}} \notin \mathbb{Z}$ for all $j \neq q$) and $\widehat{f}$ is obtained from f after the loop. Since f is holomorphic at p then $\widehat{f}$ also is. Subtracting both equalities we get:

$$\rho_s(\widehat{f} - f + \sum_{j \neq q} (c_j - 1) \lambda_j \psi_{v^j}) = 0$$

and then

$$\sum_{j\neq q}(c_j-1)\lambda_j\psi_{v^j} = f-\widehat{f}$$

in the neighborhood of p. This contradicts the fact that the power series $\{\phi_{v^j} : j \neq q, 0 \leq j \leq a_{n-1}-1\}$ are linearly independent modulo $\mathcal{O}_{X|Y,p}$ (here we have $c_j - 1 \neq 0$). This proves the theorem. $\square$

Corollary 3.3.3.9. *If $\beta \in \mathbb{N}$ then for all $p \in Y \setminus Z$ the vector space $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_p$ is generated by the class of:*

$$(P_2(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}),\ldots,P_{n-1}(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}),P_n(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}}),E(\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}})) =$$

$$= (0,\ldots,0,\sum_{\underline{m}\in\widetilde{M}(q)}\frac{(\beta+a_{n-1})!\,x_1^{q-\sum_{i\neq n-1}a_im_i+a_{n-1}(m_0+1)}x_2^{m_2}\cdots x_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}}x_{n-1}^{-1}x_n^{m_n}}{m_2!\cdots m_{n-2}!m_n!(q-\sum_{i\neq n-1}a_im_i+a_{n-1}(m_0+1))!},0,0)$$

in

$$\frac{(\mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_p^n}{\operatorname{Im}(\psi_0^*,\mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_p}$$

where

$$\widetilde{M}(q) = \{(m_2,\ldots,m_{n-2},m_n)\in\mathbb{N}^{n-2} \mid \sum a_im_i \leq q + a_{n-1}(m_0+1) = \beta + a_{n-1}\}$$

is a finite set (with $m_0 = \frac{\beta-q}{a_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{N}$) and ψ_0^* being the dual map of

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\psi_0 : \mathcal{D}^n & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\ (Q_1,\ldots,Q_n) & \mapsto & \sum_{j=2}^n Q_jP_j + Q_nE\end{array}$$

Proof. It follows from the proof of Theorem 3.3.3.8 since $\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X|Y})_p \simeq \mathbb{C}$ for all $p \in Y' = Y \setminus Z$ and moreover

$$(P_2(\phi_{v^j}),\ldots,P_n(\phi_{v^j}),E(\phi_{v^j})) = \underline{0}$$

for $0 \leq j \leq a_{n-1}-1$, $j \neq q$. $\square$

Remark 3.3.3.10. *It is easy to prove that the system $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ has no slope with respect to $x_i = 0$ for $i = 1,\ldots,n-1$, because we can compute explicitly the defining equations of the L–characteristic variety for any L and it is elementary to see that it is (F,V)–bihomogeneous (see e.g. [4] for notations). The same result can be also deduced from [26].*

Remark 3.3.3.11. *We can also compute the holomorphic solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ at any point in $X \setminus Y$ for $A = (1\ a_2\ \ldots\ a_n)$ with $1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n$ and for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, where $Y = (x_n = 0) \subset X = \mathbb{C}^n$ (see Subsection 3.2.2). We consider the vectors $w^j = (j,0,\ldots,0,\frac{\beta-j}{a_n}) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $j = 0,1,\ldots,a_n-1$ then the germs at $p \in X \setminus Y$ of the series solutions $\{\phi_{w^j} : j = 0,1,\ldots,a_n-1\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),\mathcal{O}_X)_p$.*

Let us summarize the main results of this Section in the following table. Here $A = (1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$, $\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$, $p \in Y \setminus Z$, $z \in Y \cap Z$, $\beta_{\text{esp}} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\beta_{\text{gen}} \notin \mathbb{N}$.

(z,β_{esp})	(p,β_{esp})	$\mathcal{E}xt^0(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),-)$		$\mathcal{E}xt^1(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta),-)$	
(z,β_{gen})	(p,β_{gen})				
$\mathcal{O}_{X\vert Y}$		1	1	1	1
		0	0	0	0
$\mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X\vert Y}}(s)$		1	a_{n-1}	1	0
		0	a_{n-1}	0	0
$\mathcal{Q}_Y(s)$		0	a_{n-1}	0	0
		0	a_{n-1}	0	0

Figure 2: Table 2

3.4 The case of a monomial curve

Let $A = (a_1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ be an integer row matrix with $1 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ and assume without loss of generality $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$.

In this Subsection we will compute de dimension of the germs of the cohomology of $\mathrm{Irr}_Y(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta))$ at any point in $Y = \{x_n = 0\} \subseteq X = \mathbb{C}^n$ for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. It is an open question to describe the exceptional set.

We will consider the matrix $A' = (1\ a_1\ \cdots\ a_n)$ and the corresponding hypergeometric ideal $H_{A'}(\beta) \subset A_{n+1}$ where A_{n+1} is the Weyl algebra of linear differential operators with coefficients in the polynomial ring $\mathbb{C}[x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. We denote ∂_0 the partial derivative with respect to x_0.

We denote $X' = \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and we identify $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ with the hyperplane $(x_0 = 0) = \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ in X'. If $\mathcal{D}_{X'}$ is the sheaf of linear differential operators with holomorphic coefficients in X' then the analytic hypergeometric system associated with (A', β), denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta)$, is by definition the quotient of $\mathcal{D}_{X'}$ by the sheaf of ideals generated by the hypergeometric ideal $H_{A'}(\beta) \subset A_{n+1}$ (see Section 3).

One of the main results in this Section is

Theorem 3.4.1.12. *Let $A' = (1\ a_1\ \cdots\ a_n)$ an integer row matrix with $1 < a_1 < \cdots < a_n$ and $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$. For each $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists $\beta' \in \mathbb{C}$ such that the restriction of $\mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta)$ to $X = \{x_0 = 0\} \subset X'$ is the $\mathcal{D}_X$–module*

$$\frac{\mathcal{D}_{X'}}{\mathcal{D}_{X'} H_{A'}(\beta) + x_0 \mathcal{D}_{X'}} \simeq \mathcal{M}_A(\beta')$$

where $A = (a_1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$. Moreover, for all but finitely many β we have $\beta' = \beta$.

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ let us consider $\delta_i \in \mathbb{N}$ the smallest integer satisfying $1 + \delta_i a_i \in \sum_{j \neq i} a_j \mathbb{N}$. Such a δ_i exists because $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$.

Let us consider $\rho_{ij} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$1 + \delta_i a_i = \sum_{j \neq i} \rho_{ij} a_j.$$

Then the operator $Q_i := \partial_0 \partial_i^{\delta_i} - \partial^{\rho_i}$ belongs to $I_{A'}$ where $\partial^{\rho_i} = \prod_{j \neq 0, i} \partial_j^{\rho_{ij}}$. Moreover, for $\omega = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ we have $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(Q_i) = \partial_0 \partial_i^{\delta_i} \in \mathrm{in}_\omega I_{A'}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

We also have that $P_1 = \partial_0^{a_1} - \partial_1 \in I_{A'}$ and $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} P_1 = \partial_0^{a_1} \in \mathrm{in}_\omega I_{A'}$. Then

$$\mathrm{in}_\omega I_{A'} \supseteq \langle \partial_0^{a_1}, \partial_0 \partial_1^{\delta_1} \ldots, \partial_0 \partial_n^{\delta_n}, T_1, \ldots, T_r \rangle \tag{12}$$

for any binomial generating system $\{T_1, \ldots, T_r\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n]$ of the ideal $I_A = I_{A'} \cap \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n]$ ($u \in L_A \Longleftrightarrow (0, u) \in L_{A'}$).

Using (12) we can prove (similarly to the proof of Proposition 3.3.2.5 for $k = 1$) that for $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}^*$ or $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^*$ big enough, we have

$$\partial_0 \in \mathrm{fin}_\omega(H_{A'}(\beta)) = \mathrm{in}_\omega I_{A'} + \langle E' \rangle \tag{13}$$

where $E' = E + x_0 \partial_0$ and $E := E(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \partial_i - \beta$. In particular we have

$$\langle H_A(\beta), \partial_0 \rangle \subseteq \mathrm{fin}_\omega(H_{A'}(\beta)) \subseteq \mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_{A'}(\beta)).$$

Let $\{T_1, \ldots, T_r, R_1, \ldots, R_l\}$ be a Gröbner basis of $I_{A'}$ with respect to ω. So we have

$$I_{A'} = \langle T_1, \ldots, T_r, R_1, \ldots, R_l \rangle$$

and

$$\mathrm{in}_\omega I_{A'} = \langle T_1, \ldots, T_r, \mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} R_1, \ldots, \mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} R_l \rangle.$$

If the ω-order of $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} R_i$ is 0, then $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} R_i = R_i \in I_{A'} \cap \mathbb{C}[\partial_1, \ldots, \partial_n] = I_A$ and then $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} R_i = R_i \in \langle T_1, \ldots, T_r \rangle$.

If the ω-order of $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} R_i$ is greater than or equal to 1, then ∂_0 divide $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)} R_i$. Then, according (13), for $\beta \notin \mathbb{N}^*$ or $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^*$ big enough, we have

$$\mathrm{fin}_\omega(H_{A'}(\beta)) = \langle \partial_0, E, T_1, \ldots, T_r \rangle = \langle \partial_0 \rangle + H_A(\beta) \subseteq \mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_{A'}(\beta)) \tag{14}$$

In particular $\partial_0 \in \mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_{A'}(\beta))$, and then $\theta_0 = x_0 \partial_0 \in \widetilde{\mathrm{in}}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_{A'}(\beta))$. Then the b–function of $H_{A'}(\beta)$ with respect to ω is $b(\tau) = \tau$ and the restriction of $\mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta)$ to $\{x_0 = 0\}$ is a cyclic $\mathcal{D}_X$-module.

From [25, Th. 3.1.3], for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_{A'}(\beta)) = \langle \partial_0, E, T_1, \ldots, T_r \rangle = \langle \partial_0 \rangle + H_A(\beta). \tag{15}$$

Let us denote $P_i = \partial_0^{a_i} - \partial_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$. Then the set

$$\mathcal{G} = \{P_1, \ldots, P_n, R, E', T_1, \ldots, T_r\}$$

is a Gröbner basis of $H_{A'}(\beta)$ with respect to ω, since first of all $\mathcal{G}$ is a generating system of $H_{A'}(\beta)$ and on the other hand $\mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(H_{A'}(\beta)) = A_{n+1} \mathrm{in}_{(-\omega,\omega)}(\mathcal{G})$.

We can now follow [25, Algorithm 5.2.8], as in the proof of Theorem 3.3.2.2, to prove the result for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then, to finish the proof it is enough to apply Lemma 3.3.2.10. $\square$

Remark 3.4.1.13. *Recall that* $Y = (x_n = 0) \subset X = \mathbb{C}^n$ *and* $Z = (x_{n-1} = 0) \subset X$. *Let us denote* $Y' = \{x_n = 0\} \subset X'$, $Z' = \{x_{n-1} = 0\} \subset X'$. *Notice that* $Y = Y' \cap X$ *and* $Z = Z' \cap X$.

By using Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Theorem for Gevrey series (see [16, Cor. 2.2.4]), [4, Proposition 4.2] and Theorem 3.4.1.12, we get, for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ *and for all* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$, *the following isomorphism*

$$\mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_{X'}}(\mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X'|Y'}}(s))_{|X} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{R}\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{\widehat{X|Y}}(s))$$

We also have the following

Theorem 3.4.1.14. *Let* $A = (a_1\ a_2\ \cdots\ a_n)$ *be an integer row matrix with* $1 < a_1 < a_2 < \cdots < a_n$ *and* $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$. *Then for all but finitely many* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ *we have*

i) $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)) = 0$ *for* $1 \leq s < a_n/a_{n-1}$.

ii) $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_{|Y\cap Z} = 0$ *for* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$.

iii) $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p) = a_{n-1}$ *for* $a_n/a_{n-1} \leq s \leq \infty$ *and* $p \in Y \setminus Z$.

iv) $\mathcal{E}xt^i_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)) = 0$, *for* $i \geq 1$ *and* $1 \leq s \leq \infty$.

Here $Y = (x_n = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ *and* $Z = (x_{n-1} = 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$.

Proof. It follows from Remark 3.4.1.13 and Theorem 3.3.1.7. ☐

Remark 3.4.1.15. *With the notations of Theorem 3.4.1.14, we can give a basis of the* $\mathbb{C}-$*vector space* $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p$ *for any* $\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} \leq s \leq \infty$, $p \in Y \setminus Z$ *and for all but finitely many* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remind that for $A' = (1\ a_1\ \ldots\ a_n)$ *and* $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ *the* $\Gamma-$*series described in Section 3.3 are*

$$\phi_{v^j} = (x')^{v^j} \sum_{\substack{m_1,\ldots,m_{n-1},m_n \geq 0 \\ \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i \leq j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}}} \Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})](x')^{u(\mathbf{m})}$$

where $x' = (x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n)$, $v^j = (j, 0, \ldots, 0, \frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}}, 0) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ *for* $j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$ *and for* $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ *we have*

$$(x')^{u(\mathbf{m})} = x_0^{-\sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}} x_1^{m_1}, \ldots, x_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}}, x_{n-1}^{-m_{n-1}}, x_n^{m_n}).$$

For $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ *such that* $j - \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1} \geq 0$ *we have*

$$\Gamma[v^j; u(\mathbf{m})] = \frac{(\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}})_{m_{n-1}}\, j!}{m_1! \cdots m_{n-2}! m_n! (j - \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i + a_{n-1}m_{n-1})!}.$$

After the substitution $x_0 = 0$ *in the series* ϕ_{v^j} *we get*

$$\phi_{v^j|x_0=0} = \sum_{\substack{m_1,\ldots,m_{n-1},m_n \geq 0 \\ \sum a_i m_i = j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}}} \frac{(\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}})_{m_{n-1}} j! x_1^{m_1} \cdots x_{n-2}^{m_{n-2}} x_{n-1}^{\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}} - m_{n-1}} x_n^{m_n}}{m_1! \cdots m_{n-2}! m_n!}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$.

The summation before is taken over the set

$$\Delta_j := \{(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n : \sum_{i\neq n-1} a_i m_i = j + a_{n-1}m_{n-1}\}$$

It is clear that $(0, \ldots, 0) \in \Delta_0$ *and for* $j \geq 1$, Δ_j *is a non empty set since* $\gcd(a_1, \ldots, a_n) = 1$. *Moreover* Δ_j *is in fact a countably infinite set for* $j \geq 0$. *To this end take some* $\underline{\lambda} := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n) \in \Delta_j$. *Then* $\underline{\lambda} + \mu(0, \ldots, 0, a_n, a_{n-1})$ *is also in* Δ_j *for all* $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$.

The series $\phi_{v^j|x_0=0}$ is a Gevrey series of order $s = \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$ since ϕ_{v^j} also is. We will see that in fact the Gevrey index of $\phi_{v^j|x_0=0}$ is $\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$ for $j = 0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$ such that $\frac{\beta - j}{a_{n-1}} \notin \mathbb{N}$. To this end let us consider the subsum of $\phi_{v^j|x_0=0}$ over the set of $(m_1, \ldots, m_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$ of the form $\underline{\lambda}^{(j)} + \mathbb{N}(0, \ldots, 0, a_n, a_{n-1})$ for some fixed $\underline{\lambda}^{(j)} \in \Delta_j$. Then we get the series:

$$\frac{j!(\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}})_{\lambda_{n-1}^{(j)}} x_1^{\lambda_1^{(j)}} \cdots x_{n-2}^{\lambda_{n-2}^{(j)}} x_{n-1}^{\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}} - \lambda_{n-1}^{(j)}}}{\lambda_1^{(j)}! \cdots \lambda_{n-2}^{(j)}!} \sum_{m \geq 0} \frac{(\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}} - \lambda_{n-1}^{(j)})_{a_n m} x_{n-1}^{-a_n m} x_n^{\lambda_n^{(j)} + a_{n-1} m}}{(\lambda_n^{(j)} + a_{n-1} m)!}$$

and it can be proven, by using d'Alembert ratio test, that its Gevrey index equals $\frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}}$ at any point in $Y \setminus Z$, for any $j = 0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$ such that $\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}} \notin \mathbb{N}$.

For all $j = 0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$ we have

$$\phi_{v^j|x_0=0} \in x_{n-1}^{\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}}} \mathbb{C}[[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}^{-1}, x_n]]$$

and in particular these a_{n-1} series are linearly independent.

Assume $\frac{\beta-j}{a_{n-1}} \notin \mathbb{N}$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1$. Then the family

$$\{\overline{\phi_{v^j|x_0=0}} \,|\, j = 0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1\} \subset \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)_p$$

is also linearly independent (see Subsection 3.3) for $p \in Y \setminus Z$ and so it is a basis of the $\mathbb{C}$–vector space $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p$ (for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$).

Assume there exists $q \in \{0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1\}$ (then necessarily unique) such that $\frac{\beta-q}{a_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\phi_{v^q|x_0=0}$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. In a similar way as in Subsection 3.3 (and we will use the notations therein) we can prove that the family

$$\{\overline{\phi_{v^j|x_0=0}} \,|\, j = 0, \ldots, a_{n-1} - 1,\, j \neq q,\, \overline{\phi_{\widetilde{v^q}|x_0=0}}\} \subset \mathcal{Q}_Y(s)_p$$

is a basis of $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{Q}_Y(s))_p$ for all but finitely many $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

Remark 3.4.1.16. *We can also compute the holomorphic solutions of $\mathcal{M}_A(\beta)$ at any point in $X \setminus Y$ for $A = (a_1\ a_2\ \ldots\ a_n)$ with $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \ldots < a_n$ and for any $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$, where $Y = (x_n = 0) \subset X = \mathbb{C}^n$ (see Subsections 3.2.2 and 3.3.3.11). As in the beginning of Section 3.4 we consider $A' = (1\ a_1\ a_2\ \ldots\ a_n)$ and we will use the notation from therein . We consider the vectors $w^j = (j, 0, \ldots, 0, \frac{\beta-j}{a_n}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_n - 1$ then the germs at $p' = (0, p) \in X' \setminus Y'$ (with $p \in X$) of the series solutions $\{\phi_{w^j} :\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_n - 1\}$ is a basis of $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}'}(\mathcal{M}_{A'}(\beta), \mathcal{O}_{X'})_{p'}$. Taking $\{\phi_{w^j|x_0=0} :\ j = 0, 1, \ldots, a_n - 1\}$ we get a basis of $\mathcal{E}xt^0_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{M}_A(\beta), \mathcal{O}_X)_p$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ at any point $p \in X \setminus Y$.*

References

[1] Adolphson, A. *A-hypergeometric functions and rings generated by monomials.* Duke Math. J. 73 (1994), no. 2, 269-290.

[2] Assi, A., Castro-Jiménez, F. J. and Granger, J.-M. *How to calculate the slopes of a D- module.* Compositio Math., 104 (1996) 107-123.

[3] Beilinson, A. A., Bernstein, J. and Deligne, P. *Faisceaux pervers.* Analysis and topology on singular spaces, I (Luminy, 1981), 5–171, Astérisque, 100, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.

[4] Castro-Jiménez, F.J. and Takayama, N. *Singularities of the hypergeometric system associated with a monomial curve.* Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol.355, no. 9, p. 3761-3775 (2003).

[5] Cattani, E., D'Andrea, C. and Dickenstein, A. *The A-hypergeometric system associated with a monomial curve.* Duke Math. J. 99 (1999), no. 2, 179–207.

[6] Gelfand, I.M., Graev, M.I. and Zelevinsky, A.V. *Holonomic systems of equations ans series of hypergeometric type.* Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 295 (1987), no. 1, 14–19; translation in Soviet Math. Dokl. 36 (1988), no. 1, 5–10.

[7] Gelfand, I.M., Zelevinsky, A.V. and Kapranov, M.M., *Hypergeometric functions and toric varieties (or Hypergeometric functions and toral manifolds).* Translated from Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 23 (1989), no. 2, 12–26; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 23 (1989), no. 2, 94–106; and I.M. Gelfand, A.V. Zelevinskiĭand M.M. Kapranov, Correction to the paper: "Hypergeometric functions and toric varieties" [Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 23 (1989), no. 2, 12–26]; (Russian) Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. 27 (1993), no. 4, 91; translation in Funct. Anal. Appl. 27 (1993), no. 4, 295 (1994)

[8] Hartillo, M. I. *Irregular hypergeometric sistems associated with a singular monomial curve.* Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 357, no. 11 (2004), 4633-4646.

[9] Iwasaki, K. *Gevrey cohomology groups for confluent hypergeometric systems.* Complex analysis and microlocal analysis (Kyoto, 1997). Surikaisekikenkyusho Kokyuroku No. 1090 (1999), 110–115.

[10] Kashiwara, M. *On the Maximally Overdetermined System of Linear Differential Equations.* Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. 10 (1975), 563-579.

[11] Kashiwara, M. *The Riemann-Hilbert problem for holonomic systems* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. , 20 (1984) pp. 319-365.

[12] Kashiwara, M. and Kawai, T. *On the holonomic systems of micro-differential equations III* Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. , 17 (1981) pp. 813-979.

[13] Laurent, Y. *Calcul d'indices et irrégularité pour les systèmes holonomes.* Differential systems and singularities (Luminy, 1983). Astérisque No. 130 (1985), 352–364.

[14] Laurent, Y. *Polygône de Newton et b-fonctions pour les modules microdifférentiels.* Annales scientifiques de l'ENS 4^e série, tome 20, no. 3 (1987), 391-441.

[15] Laurent, Y. and Mebkhout, Z. *Pentes algébriques et pentes analytiques d'un $\mathcal{D}$-module.* Annales Scientifiques de L'E.N.S. 4^e série, tome 32, n. 1 (1999) p.39-69.

[16] Laurent, Y. and Mebkhout, Z. *Image inverse d'un $\mathcal{D}$-module et polygone de Newton.* Compositio Math. 131 (2002), no. 1, 97–119.

[17] Majima, H. *Irregularities on hyperplanes of holonomic $\mathcal{D}$-module (especially defined by confluent hypergeometric partial differential equations).* Complex analysis and microlocal analysis (Kyoto, 1997). Surikaisekikenkyusho Kokyuroku No. 1090 (1999), 100–109.

[18] Malgrange, B. *Sur les points singuliers des équations différentielles.* L'Enseignement Mathématique, XX, 1-2, (1974), 147-176.

[19] Mebkhout, Z. *Une équivalence de catégories.* Compositio Math. 51 (1984), no. 1, 51–62, and *Une autre équivalence de catégories.* Compositio Math. 51 (1984), no. 1, 63–88.

[20] Mebkhout, Z. *Le théorème de comparaison entre cohomologies de De Rham d'une variété algébrique complexe et le théorème d'existence de Riemann.* Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 69, (1989), p. 47-89.

[21] Mebkhout, Z. *Le théorème de positivité de l'irrégularité pour les $\mathcal{D}_X$-modules*, in The Grothendieck Festschrift, Progress in Math., vol.88, no.3, Birkhauser (1990) p.83-131.

[22] Oaku, T. *On regular b-functions of D-modules.* Preprint January 18, (2007).

[23] Ohara, K. and Takayama, N. *Holonomic rank of A-hypergeometric differential-difference equations.* `http://arxiv.org/abs/0706.2706v1`

[24] Saito, M. *Parameter shift in normal generalized hypergeometric systems.* Tohoku Math. J. (2) Volume 44, Number 4 (1992), 523-534.

[25] Saito, M., Sturmfels, B., Takayama, N. *Gröbner Deformations of Hypergeometric Differential Equations.* Algorithms and Computation in Mathematics 6. Springer.

[26] Schulze, M. and Walther, U. *Irregularity of hypergeometric systems via slopes along coordinate subspaces.* `http://arxiv.org/abs/math/0608668`. To appear in Duke Math. J. (2007).

[27] Takayama, N. *An Algorithm of Constructing Cohomological Series Solutions of Holonomic Systems.* `http://xxx.lanl.gov/abs/math/0309378v2`

[28] Takayama, N. *Modified A-hypergeometric Systems.*
`http://arxiv.org/abs/0707.0043v2`